Research Article Multiplicative Sombor index of graphs

Hechao Liu*

School of Mathematical Sciences, South China Normal University, Guangzhou, P. R. China

(Received: 26 February 2022. Received in revised form: 3 March 2022. Accepted: 19 March 2022. Published online: 28 March 2022.)

© 2022 the author. This is an open access article under the CC BY (International 4.0) license (www.creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Abstract

The Sombor index of a graph G is defined as $SO(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} \sqrt{d_G^2(u) + d_G^2(v)}$, where $d_G(u)$ denotes the degree of the vertex u of G. Accordingly, the multiplicative Sombor index of G can be defined as $\prod_{SO}(G) = \prod_{uv \in E(G)} \sqrt{d_G^2(u) + d_G^2(v)}$. In this article, some graph transformations which increase or decrease the multiplicative Sombor index are first introduced. Then by using these transformations, extremal values of the multiplicative Sombor index of trees and unicyclic graphs are determined.

Keywords: multiplicative Sombor index; extremal value.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 05C09, 05C92.

1. Introduction

The term "chemical graph theory" was coined by Nenad Trinajstić and it was used as the title of his seminal book [33]. In the new AMS subject classification 2020, the subject number 05C92 in "05C graph theory" is assigned to chemical graph theory. Gutman said that it is a major success of all those colleagues who over several decades worked and/or are working in "chemical topology", a field of research considered by many as worthless.

We only consider simple connected graph G with the vertex set V(G) and edge set E(G). Denote by $N_G(u)$ the set of the vertices that are neighbors of the vertex $u \in V(G)$. Then $|N_G(u)|$ is the degree of the vertex u, denoted by $d_G(u)$ or d(u). We call the vertex u as a pendent vertex if d(u) = 1. We call a path $P = u_1 u_2 \cdots u_k$ in G as a pendent path if $d(u_1) \ge 3$, $d(u_k) = 1$ and $d(u_i) = 2$ for $2 \le i \le k - 1$. The girth of G is the length of a shortest cycle in G. Denote by P_n and S_n the path and star graphs with n vertices, respectively. All notations and terminology used, but not defined here, can be found in the textbook [3].

The Sombor index [15] was proposed by Gutman, which is defined as

$$SO(G) \quad = \quad \sum_{uv \in E(G)} \sqrt{d_G^2(u) + d_G^2(v)}.$$

Since the publication of [15], the Sombor index has attracted much attention of researchers. For the mathematical properties and chemical applications on the Sombor index or its variants, see [1, 2, 4-14, 16-32, 34, 35] and the references cited therein.

According to the definition of the Sombor index, it is natural to consider the multiplicative version of the Sombor index, defined as

$$\prod_{SO}(G) = \prod_{uv \in E(G)} \sqrt{d_G^2(u) + d_G^2(v)}.$$

The aim of this paper is to begin the research on mathematical properties of the multiplicative Sombor index.

2. Transformations

We first introduce some transformations which will be useful in the proof of main theorems.

^{*}E-mail address: hechaoliu@m.scnu.edu.cn

Lemma 2.1. Let G be a connected graph.

- (1) if $uv \in E(G)$, then $\prod_{SO}(G) > \prod_{SO}(G uv)$;
- (2) if $uv \notin E(G)$, then $\prod_{SO}(G) < \prod_{SO}(G+uv)$.



Figure 1: Transformation A.

Lemma 2.2. Let G and G^* be the graphs shown in Figure 1. We allow u = v. If $uu_1u_2 \cdots u_k$ and $vv_1v_2 \cdots v_l$ are two pendent path in G, and $G^* = G - uu_1 + u_1v_l$, then $\prod_{SO}(G^*) < \prod_{SO}(G)$.

Proof. We consider two cases.

Case 1. u = v. Let $d_{G_0}(u) = t \ge 1$ and $N_{G_0}(u) = \{x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_t\}$. If $k \ge 2, l \ge 2$, then

$$\Pi_{SO}(G) - \Pi_{SO}(G^*) = 5 \cdot ((t+2)^2 + 4) \cdot 8^{\frac{k+l-4}{2}} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^t \sqrt{(d_{G_0}(x_i) + 2)^2 + t^2} - \sqrt{5} \cdot \sqrt{(t+1)^2 + 4} \cdot 8^{\frac{k+l-2}{2}} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^t \sqrt{(d_{G_0}(x_i) + 1)^2 + t^2} > 8^{\frac{k+l-4}{2}} \cdot \left[5((t+2)^2 + 4) - 8\sqrt{5}\sqrt{(t+1)^2 + 4}\right] > 0.$$

Similarly, if k = l = 1 or $k = 1, l \ge 2$ or $k \ge 2, l = 1$, then we have

$$\prod_{SO}(G) - \prod_{SO}(G^*) > 0$$

Case 2. $u \neq v$.

$$\frac{\prod_{SO}(G^*)}{\prod_{SO}(G)} = \frac{\sqrt{d_G^2(u_1) + 4}\sqrt{d_G^2(v_{l-1}) + 4}}{\sqrt{d_G^2(u_1) + d_G^2(u)}\sqrt{d_G^2(v_{l-1}) + 1}} \prod_{u_i \in N_G(u) \setminus \{u_1\}} \frac{\sqrt{d_G^2(u_i) + (d_G(u) - 1)^2}}{\sqrt{d_G^2(u_i) + d_G^2(u)}}$$

If $l \ge 2$, then $d_G(v_{l-1}) = 2$, $d_G(u_1) = 2$ or 1. Since $d_G(u) \ge 3$, one has

$$\prod_{SO} (G^*) < \prod_{SO} (G)$$

If l = 1, then $d_G(v_{l-1}) \ge 3$. Since $d_G(u) \ge 3$, $d_G(u_1) = 2$ or 1.

$$\frac{\prod_{SO}(G^*)}{\prod_{SO}(G)} \quad < \quad \frac{\sqrt{13}}{\sqrt{10}} \frac{\sqrt{d_G^2(u_1) + 4}}{\sqrt{d_G^2(u_1) + d_G^2(u)}} < 1.$$

Lemma 2.3. Let G and G^* be the graphs as depicted in Figure 2, and $G^* = G - \{uw_1, uw_2, \cdots, uw_t\} + \{vw_1, vw_2, \cdots, vw_t\}$. Then $\prod_{SO}(G) < \prod_{SO}(G^*)$.



Figure 2: Transformation *B*.

Proof. Let $d_{G_0}(v) = k \ge 1$ and $N_{G_0}(v) = \{v_1, v_2, \cdots, v_k\}$. Then

$$\begin{split} \prod_{SO}(G^*) - \prod_{SO}(G) &\geq ((k+t+1)^2+1)^{\frac{t+1}{2}} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^k \sqrt{d_{G_0}^2(v_i) + (k+t+1)^2} \\ &-\sqrt{(k+1)^2 + (t+1)^2} \cdot ((t+1)^2+1)^{\frac{t}{2}} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^k \sqrt{d_{G_0}^2(v_i) + (k+1)^2} \\ &> ((k+t+1)^2+1)^{\frac{t+1}{2}} - \sqrt{(k+1)^2 + (t+1)^2} \cdot ((t+1)^2+1)^{\frac{t}{2}} \\ &> \sqrt{(k+t+1)^2+1} - \sqrt{(k+1)^2 + (t+1)^2} \\ &> 0. \end{split}$$

	_
_	



Figure 3: Transformation C.

Lemma 2.4. Let G and G^* be the graphs as shown in Figure 3. If G^* is the graph obtained from G by identifying the vertices u and v to a new vertex w and adding a pendent vertex w_0 to the vertex w, then

$$\prod\nolimits_{SO}(G) < \prod\nolimits_{SO}(G^*).$$

Proof. Let $N_G(u) = \{v, u_1, u_2, \cdots, u_k\}$ and $N_G(v) = \{u, v_1, v_2, \cdots, v_l\}$. Then

$$\begin{split} \prod_{SO}(G^*) - \prod_{SO}(G) &\geq \sqrt{(k+t+1)^2 + 1} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^k \sqrt{d_G^2(u_i) + (k+l+1)^2} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^l \sqrt{d_G^2(v_j) + (k+l+1)^2} \\ &- \sqrt{(k+1)^2 + (l+1)^2} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^k \sqrt{d_G^2(u_i) + (k+1)^2} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^l \sqrt{d_G^2(v_j) + (l+1)^2} \\ &\geq \sqrt{(k+t+1)^2 + 1} - \sqrt{(k+1)^2 + (l+1)^2} \\ &\geq 0. \end{split}$$

Lemma 2.5. Let G, G^* be the graphs in Figure 4. Note that $P = v_1v_2 \cdots v_tv_{t+1}$ is a pendent path, $N_G(v_1) = \{v_2, u, w\}$ and $G^* = G - uv_1 + uv_{t+1}$. Then

$$\prod_{SO}(G) > \prod_{SO}(G^*).$$



Figure 4: Transformation D.

Proof. If $d_G(u) = 1$, then by Lemma 2.2, the conclusion holds. Thus, in the following, we suppose that $d_G(u) \ge 2$. If $t \ge 2$, then

$$\begin{split} \prod_{SO}(G) - \prod_{SO}(G^*) &\geq \sqrt{4+9} \cdot \sqrt{1+4} \cdot 8^{\frac{t-2}{2}} \cdot \sqrt{d_G^2(u) + 9} \cdot \sqrt{d_G^2(w) + 9} - 8^{\frac{t}{2}} \cdot \sqrt{d_G^2(u) + 4} \cdot \sqrt{d_G^2(w) + 4} \\ &= 8^{\frac{t-2}{2}} \left[\sqrt{65} \sqrt{d_G^2(u) + 9} \cdot \sqrt{d_G^2(w) + 9} - 8 \sqrt{d_G^2(u) + 4} \cdot \sqrt{d_G^2(w) + 4} \right] \\ &> 0. \end{split}$$

If t = 1, then

$$\prod_{SO}(G) - \prod_{SO}(G^*) \geq \sqrt{1+9}\sqrt{d_G^2(u) + 9} \cdot \sqrt{d_G^2(w) + 9} - \sqrt{4+4}\sqrt{d_G^2(u) + 4} \cdot \sqrt{d_G^2(w) + 4} > 0.$$

Lemma 2.6. Let $f(x) = ((x+m)^2+1)^{\frac{x}{2}} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^m \sqrt{(x+m)^2+(d^{(i)})^2}$, where $d^{(i)}$ $(i = 1, 2, \dots, m)$ are all nonnegative integers. Then for any positive integers s, t, the inequality f(s+t)f(0) > f(s)f(t) holds.

Proof. We prove that $\ln f(s+t) + \ln f(0) > \ln f(s) + \ln f(t)$. For this, let $g(x) = \ln f(x) + \ln f(0) - \ln f(x_1) - \ln f(x-x_1)$. Then,

$$g'(x) = \frac{1}{2}\ln((x+m)^2+1) + \frac{x(x+m)}{(x+m)^2+1} + \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{x+m}{(x+m)^2+(d^{(i)})^2} \\ - \left[\frac{1}{2}\ln((x-x_1+m)^2+1) + \frac{(x-x_1)(x-x_1+m)}{(x-x_1+m)^2+1} + \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{x-x_1+m}{(x-x_1+m)^2+(d^{(i)})^2}\right] \\ \triangleq h(x) - h(x-x_1),$$

where

$$h(x) = \frac{1}{2}\ln((x+m)^2 + 1) + \frac{x(x+m)}{(x+m)^2 + 1} + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{x+m}{(x+m)^2 + (d^{(i)})^2}.$$

But,

$$\begin{split} h'(x) &= \frac{x+m}{(x+m)^2+1} + \frac{m(x+m)^2+2x+m}{((x+m)^2+1)^2} + \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{(d^{(i)})^2 - (x+m)^2}{((x+m)^2+1)^2} \\ &= \frac{x+m}{(x+m)^2+1} + \frac{2x+m}{((x+m)^2+1)^2} + \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{(d^{(i)})^2}{((x+m)^2+1)^2} \\ &> 0. \end{split}$$

Thus g'(x) > 0, which implies $\ln f(s+t) + \ln f(0) > \ln f(s) + \ln f(t)$, and consequently, it holds that f(s+t)f(0) > f(s)f(t).

Lemma 2.7. Let G and G^* be the graphs as given in Figure 5. Take $G^* = G - \{vv_1, vv_2, \dots, vv_t\} + \{uv_1, uv_2, \dots, uv_t\}$. Suppose that $|N_{G_0}(u)| = |N_{G_0}(v)| = m$, $N_{G_0}(u) = \{u_1^0, u_2^0, \dots, u_m^0\}$, $N_{G_0}(v) = \{v_1^0, v_2^0, \dots, v_m^0\}$ and $d_{G_0}(u_i^0) = d_{G_0}(v_i^0) = d^{(i)}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$. Then $\prod_{SO}(G) < \prod_{SO}(G^*)$.



Figure 5: Transformation *E*.

Proof. By Lemma 2.6, we have

$$\begin{split} \prod_{SO}(G^*) - \prod_{SO}(G) &\geq ((s+t+m)^2+1)^{\frac{s+t}{2}} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^m \sqrt{(d^{(i)})^2 + (s+t+m)^2} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^m \sqrt{(d^{(i)})^2 + m^2} \\ &- ((s+m)^2+1)^{\frac{s}{2}} \cdot ((t+m)^2+1)^{\frac{t}{2}} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^m \sqrt{(d^{(i)})^2 + (s+m)^2} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^m \sqrt{(d^{(i)})^2 + (t+m)^2} \\ &\triangleq f(s+t)f(0) - f(s)f(t) > 0, \end{split}$$

where

$$f(x) = ((x+m)^2 + 1)^{\frac{x}{2}} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^m \sqrt{(x+m)^2 + (d^{(i)})^2}$$

3. Main results

Denote by \mathcal{T}_n and \mathcal{U}_n , the sets of trees and unicyclic graphs with *n* vertices, respectively. By transformations given in Section 2, we have the following results.

Theorem 3.1. Let $G \in \mathcal{T}_n$ and $G \ncong P_n$, $G \ncong S_n$. Then $\prod_{SO}(P_n) < \prod_{SO}(G) < \prod_{SO}(S_n)$.

Denote by T(a, b, c) the set of trees of maximum degree 3 with the unique maximum-degree vertex w such that

$$T(a,b,c) - w = P_a \cup P_b \cup P_c,$$

a + b + c = n - 1, and $a \ge b \ge c \ge 2$. Let S_n^* be the tree obtained from star S_n by subdividing one of its edges. By transformations considered in Section 2, especially Transformation *E*, we have the next result.

Theorem 3.2. Let $G \in \mathcal{T}_n$ and $G \ncong P_n, S_n, S_n^*, T(a, b, c)$. Then $\prod_{SO}(T(a, b, c)) < \prod_{SO}(G) < \prod_{SO}(S_n^*)$.

Let C_n^k be the unicyclic graph obtained from the cycle C_k by attaching n-k pendent edges to one vertex of C_k .

Theorem 3.3. Let $G \in U_n$ and $G \ncong C_n$, $G \ncong C_n^3$. Then $\prod_{SO}(C_n) < \prod_{SO}(G) < \prod_{SO}(C_n^3)$.

Denote by $\mathcal{U}_{n,g}$ the set of unicyclic graphs with *n* vertices and girth *g*. Denote by $\mathcal{F}_{n,g}$ the set of graphs with *n* vertices and girth *g*. By Lemma 2.2, we have the next theorem.

Theorem 3.4. Let $G \in U_{n,g}$. Then $\prod_{SO}(G) \ge 13\sqrt{65} \cdot 8^{\frac{n-4}{2}}$ with equality if and only if G is the unicyclic graph with girth g and one pendent path.

Combining Theorem 3.4 and Lemma 2.1, we have the next result.

Theorem 3.5. Let $G \in \mathcal{F}_{n,g}$. Then $\prod_{SO}(G) \ge 13\sqrt{65} \cdot 8^{\frac{n-4}{2}}$ with equality if and only if G is the unicyclic graph with girth g and one pendent path.

A molecular tree is a tree with maximum degree $\Delta \leq 4$. We end this paper with the remark that the extremal multiplicative Sombor indices of (molecular) trees are under investigation.

Acknowledgements

I would like to gratefully thank Professor Ivan Gutman for encouraging me to consider the multiplicative Sombor index. I also would like to thank the anonymous referees for invaluable comments and suggestions. This work is supported by the Hunan Provincial Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 2020JJ4423) and the Department of Education of Hunan Province (Grant No. 19A318).

References

- [1] A. Aashtab, S. Akbari, S. Madadinia, M. Noei, F. Salehi, On the graphs with minimum Sombor index, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 88 (2022), in press.
- [2] S. Alikhani, N. Ghanbari, Sombor index of polymers, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 86 (2021) 715–728.
- [3] J. A. Bondy, U. S. R. Murty, Graph Theory, Springer, New York, 2008.
- [4] H. Chen, W. Li, J. Wang, Extremal values on the Sombor index of trees, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 87 (2022) 23-49.
- [5] R. Cruz, I. Gutman, J. Rada, Sombor index of chemical graphs, Appl. Math. Comput. 399 (2021) #126018.
- [6] R. Cruz, J. Rada, Extremal values of the Sombor index in unicyclic and bicyclic graphs, J. Math. Chem. 59 (2021) 1098–1116.
- [7] R. Cruz, J. Rada, J. M. Sigarreta, Sombor index of trees with at most three branch vertices, Appl. Math. Comput. 409 (2021) #126414.
- [8] K. C. Das, A. S. Çevik, I. N. Cangul, Y. Shang, On Sombor index, Symmetry 13 (2021) #140.
- [9] K. C. Das, A. Ghalavand, A. R. Ashraf, On a conjecture about the Sombor index of graphs, Symmetry 13 (2021) #1830.
- [10] K. C. Das, I. Gutman, On Sombor index of trees, Appl. Math. Comput. 412 (2022) #126575.
- [11] K. C. Das, Y. Shang, Some extremal graphs with respect to Sombor index, *Mathematics* **9** (2021) #1202.
- [12] H. Deng, Z. Tang, R. Wu, Molecular trees with extremal values of Sombor indices, Int. J. Quantum Chem. 121 (2021) #e26622.
- [13] T. Došlić, T. Réti, A. Ali, On the structure of graphs with integer Sombor indices, Discrete Math. Lett. 7 (2021) 1-4.
- [14] X. Fang, L. You, H. Liu, The expected values of Sombor indices in random hexagonal chains, phenylene chains and Sombor indices of some chemical graphs, *Int. J. Quantum Chem.* **121** (2021) #e26740.
- [15] I. Gutman, Geometric approach to degree-based topological indices: Sombor indices, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 86 (2021) 11-16.
- [16] I. Gutman, Sombor index one year later, Bull. Acad. Serb. Sci. Arts (Cl. Sci. Math. Natur. 153 (2020) 43–55.
- [17] B. Horoldagva, C. Xu, On Sombor index of graphs, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 86 (2021) 703-713.
- [18] Ž. Kovijanić Vukićević, On the Sombor index of chemical trees, Mathematica Montisnigri 50 (2021) 5–14.
- [19] S. Li, Z. Wang, M. Zhang, On the extremal Sombor index of trees with a given diameter, Appl. Math. Comput. 416 (2022) #126731.
- [20] H. Liu, Extremal cacti with respect to Sombor index, Iranian J. Math. Chem. 12 (2021) 197–208.
- [21] H. Liu, Extremal problems on Sombor indices of unicyclic graphs with a given diameter, arXiv 2107.10673v2.
- [22] H. Liu, H. Chen, Q. Xiao, X. Fang, Z. Tang, More on Sombor indices of chemical graphs and their applications to the boiling point of benzenoid hydrocarbons, Int. J. Quantum Chem. 121 (2021) #e26689.
- [23] H. Liu, I. Gutman, L. You, Y. Huang, Sombor index: review of extremal results and bounds, J. Math. Chem., In press, DOI: 10.1007/s10910-022-01333-y.
- [24] H. Liu, L. You, Y. Huang, Ordering chemical graphs by Sombor indices and its applications, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 87 (2022) 5-22.
- [25] H. Liu, L. You, Y. Huang, X. Fang, Spectral properties of p-Sombor matrices and beyond, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 87 (2022) 59-87.
- [26] H. Liu, L. You, Y. Huang, Extremal Sombor indices of tetracyclic (chemical) graphs, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 88 (2022), In press.

[27] H. Liu, L. You, Z. Tang, J. B. Liu, On the reduced Sombor index and its applications, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 86 (2021) 729–753.

- [28] J. Rada, J. M. Rodriguez, J. M. Sigarreta, General properties on Sombor indices, Discrete Appl. Math. 299 (2021) 87-97.
- [29] I. Redžepović, Chemical applicability of Sombor indices, J. Serb. Chem. Soc. 86 (2021) 445–457.
- [30] I. Redžepović, I. Gutman, Relating energy and Sombor energy an empirical study, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 88 (2022) 133-140.
- [31] Y. Shang, Sombor index and degree-related properties of simplicial networks, Appl. Math. Comput. 419 (2022) #126881.
- [32] X. Sun, J. Du, On Sombor index of trees with fixed domination number, Appl. Math. Comput. 421 (2022) #126946.
- [33] N. Trinajstić, Chemical Graph Theory, CRC Press, Boca Raton, 1983; 2nd Revised Edition, 1992.
- [34] Z. Wang, Y. Mao, Y. Li, B. Furtula, On relations between Sombor and other degree-based indices, J. Appl. Math. Comput. 68 (2022) 1–17.
- [35] W. Zhang, L. You, H. Liu, Y. Huang, The expected values and variances for Sombor indices in a general random chain, Appl. Math. Comput. 411 (2021) #126521.