Research Article

Estimating the PI-Estrada index of graphs

Akbar Jahanbani¹, Hajar Shooshtari¹, Jonnathan Rodríguez²,*

¹Department of Mathematics, Azerbajan Shahid Madani University, Tabriz, Iran
²Departamento de Matemáticas, Facultad Ciencias Básicas, Universidad de Antofagasta, Av. Angamos 0601 Antofagasta, Chile

(Received: 7 November 2020. Received in revised form: 12 February 2021. Accepted: 1 March 2021. Published online: 5 March 2021.)

© 2021 the authors. This is an open access article under the CC BY (International 4.0) license (www.creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

Abstract

Let G be a graph with n vertices. The PI-Estrada index of G is an invariant that is calculated from the eigenvalues of the vertex-PI matrix of G. The main purpose of this paper is to establish upper and lower bounds for the PI-Estrada index of a graph in terms of the number of vertices, edges, triangles and pendant vertices.
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1. Introduction

Let G = (V, E) be a simple undirected graph with vertex set V(G) and edge set E(G). The integers n = |V| and m = |E| are the number of vertices and edges of the graph G, respectively. Let U be a subset of V(G). We denote by (U) the subgraph of G induced by U. A clique of G is a subset of mutually adjacent vertices of V(G). A clique is called maximal if it is not contained in any other clique. A clique is said to be maximum if it has the maximum cardinality. The size of a maximum clique in G is called the clique number of G and is denoted by ω(G). A walk from a vertex u to a vertex v is a finite alternating sequence v₀(= u)e₁v₁e₂...vₖ₋₁eₖvₖ(= v) of vertices and edges such that eᵢ = vᵢ−₁vᵢ for i = 1, 2, ..., k where the number k is the length of the walk. A graph is connected if each pair of vertices in a graph is joined by at least one walk. As usual, denote by Pₙ, Kₙ and K₀ the path, the complete graph, and the complement of complete graph with n vertices, respectively. The distance between two arbitrary vertices u and v of G, denoted by d(u, v), is defined as the number of edges in the shortest path connecting the vertices u and v. The adjacency matrix A(G) of G is a matrix with entries aᵢⱼ = 1 if u,vⱼ ∈ E(G) and 0 otherwise. We denote by λ₁, λ₂, ..., λₙ the eigenvalues of A(G).

The Estrada index, put forward by Ernesto Estrada [11], is defined as

\[ EE = EE(G) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} e^{\lambda_{i}}. \]

The Estrada index is used to quantify the degree of folding of long-chain molecules, especially proteins [11] and complex networks [29–31]. Additional applications of the Estrada index can be found in [7,12–15].

The mathematical aspects of the Estrada index have been intensively studied. Several upper and lower bounds and new Estrada indices were obtained in [1, 2, 25–28, 32]. One of the most important properties of the Estrada index is as following:

\[ EE = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{M_k(G)}{k!}, \]  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where \( M_k = M_k(G) \) is the \( k \)-th moment of the graph \( G \), i.e.,

\[ M_k = M_k(G) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i}^{k}. \]

It is well known that \( M_k(G) \) is equal to the number of closed walks of length \( k \) in \( G \).

The investigation of topological indices has been shown to give a high degree of predictability of pharmaceutical properties. Among the several existing graph-based molecular structure descriptors [33,34], the Randić index is certainly the most widely applied in chemistry and pharmacology, in particular for designing in the quantitative structure-activity
relationship models (QSPR) used in the chemical, biological science, and quantitative structure-property relationships (QSAR). Due to the importance and practicality of these topological indices, Bozkurt et al. [6] in 2012, introduced the Randić energy and the Randić Estrada index of a graph, which has been of interest to many researchers.

Let $e = uv$ be an edge of $G$. Then, $n_u(e|G)$ is the number of edges lying closer to $u$ than to $v$ and $n_v(e|G)$ is defined analogously. The Padmakar-Ivan (PI) index of a graph $G$ is defined [21] as

$$PI(G) = \sum_{e \in E(G)} (n_u(e|G) + n_v(e|G)).$$

For details on this invariant, see some of the most cited papers [19–22,24]. The PI index promises to be a useful parameter in the QSPR/QSAR studies. A more favorable comparison with other representative indices such as the Randić index has already been made in order to establish the predictive ability of the PI index, and the results have shown that in several cases the PI index gave better results. Considering the importance of this index and being better than the Randić index, Arani [3] in 2011, introduced the PI-energy. In this paper, we introduce the PI-Estrada index and examine some of its properties.

The vertex-PI matrix (see [3]) of $G$, denoted by $PI(G)$, is defined as a matrix whose $(i,j)$-entry is $d_{ij}$, where

$$d_{ij} = \begin{cases} n_u(e|G) + n_v(e|G) & \text{if } u_i v_j \in E(G), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}$$

Since $PI(G)$ is a real and symmetric matrix, its eigenvalues are real numbers, and we label them in non-increasing order by $\delta_1 \geq \delta_2 \geq \ldots \geq \delta_n$. Their collection is called the PI-spectrum of $G$. The spectral radius of $G$, denoted by $\delta_1(G)$, is the largest eigenvalue of $PI(G)$.

A spectral invariant of the matrix $PI(G)$ is the vertex-PI energy of $G$, which is defined [3] as

$$E_{PI} = E_{PI}(G) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} |\delta_i|.$$

Recently, several analogous concepts such as Zagreb Estrada index [26], Harmonic Estrada index [18], Albertson-Estrada index [16] and Hermitian Estrada index [17] of graphs and digraphs were put forward.

On the other hand, the vertex-PI index is a distance-based molecular structure descriptor, that recently found numerous chemical applications. In this paper, we introduce the PI-Estrada index and denote it by $EE_{PI}$.

**Definition 1.1.** Let $G$ be a graph of order $n$ whose PI-eigenvalues are $\delta_1 \geq \delta_2 \geq \ldots \geq \delta_n$. The PI-Estrada index of $G$ is defined as

$$EE_{PI} = EE_{PI}(G) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} e^{\delta_i}.$$

Also, we define

$$N_k = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta_i^k.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

Similar to the Estrada index in (1), we have

$$EE_{PI}(G) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{N_k}{k!}.$$

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we give a list of some previously known results. In Section 3, we establish upper and lower bounds for the PI-Estrada index.

2. Preliminaries and known results

In this section, we give some preliminary results useful for the proof of the our results. Arani et al. [4], proved the following result.

**Lemma 2.1.** [4] Let $G$ be a graph with $n$ vertices. Then

$$\delta_1 \geq \frac{2PI}{n}.$$ 

Deng [9], showed the following result.
Lemma 2.2. [9] Let $G$ be a simple connected graph with $m$ edges. Then
\[ PI(G) \geq M_1(G) - 2m, \]
where $M_1(G) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_i^2$.

In [8], Das et al. obtained the following result.

Lemma 2.3. [8] Let $G$ be a connected graph with $n$ vertices, $m$ edges, $p$ pendant vertices, and clique number $\omega$ ($\omega \geq 3$). Then
\[ PI(G) \geq 2m + (n - 2)p + (n - \omega)(\omega - 1). \]

Khadikar et al. [23], proved the following results.

Lemma 2.4. [23] Let $T$ be a tree with $n$ vertices, $n \geq 2$. Then
\[ PI(T) = (n - 1)(n - 2). \]

Lemma 2.5. [23] Let $C_n$ be a cycle graph with $n \geq 3$. Then
\[ PI(C_{2n+1}) = 2n(2n + 1), \]
\[ PI(C_{2n}) = 4n(n - 1). \]

Lemma 2.6. [5] For any real $x$, one has $e^x \geq 1 + x + \frac{x^2}{2} + \frac{x^3}{3!}$. Equality holds if and only if $x = 0$.

Lemma 2.7. For any non-negative numbers $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n$ and $k \geq 2$, we have
\[ \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i)^k \leq \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^2 \right)^{\frac{k}{2}}. \] (3)

Remark 2.1. For any real $x$, the power-series expansion of $e^x$, is the following
\[ e^x = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^k}{k!}. \] (4)

The following results come from [4].

Lemma 2.8. [4] Let $G$ be a graph with $n$ vertices, $m$ edges, $t$ triangles and $\delta_1, \delta_2, \ldots, \delta_n$ be a non-increasing arrangement of PI-eigenvalues of $G$. Then
\[ 1) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta_i = 0, \] (5)
\[ 2) 8m \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta_i^2 \leq 2mn^2, \] (6)
\[ 3) 24t \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta_i^3 \leq 6tn^3. \] (7)

Lemma 2.9. [4] Let $G$ be a bipartite graph with $n$ vertices. Then
\[ E_{PI}(G) \leq n\sqrt{2mn}. \]

Lemma 2.10. [4] Let $G$ be a graph with $n$ vertex and PI degree sequence $\{P_1, P_2, \ldots, P_n\}$. Then
\[ \delta_1 \geq \sqrt{\frac{P_1^2 + P_2^2 + \ldots + P_n^2}{n}}. \]

Lemma 2.11. [4] Let $G$ be a graph with $n$ vertex, PI degree sequence $\{P_1, P_2, \ldots, P_n\}$ and second vertex PI degree sequence $\{T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_n\}$. Then
\[ \delta_1 \geq \sqrt{\frac{T_1^2 + T_2^2 + \ldots + T_n^2}{P_1^2 + P_2^2 + \ldots + P_n^2}}. \]
3. Main results

In this section, we present upper and lower bounds for the PI-Estrada index in terms of the number of vertices, edges, triangles, a bound in terms of the elements of the degree sequence, pendent vertices and clique number for a graph, connected graphs, cycle graphs and tree graphs. Our first main result is the following.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let $G$ be a graph with $n$ vertices, $m$ edges and $t$ triangles. Then

$$EE_{PI}(G) \geq \sqrt{n^2 + 8n(t + m)}. \quad (8)$$

Equality holds if and only if $G$ is the empty graph $K_n$.

**Proof.** Suppose that $\delta_1, \delta_2, \ldots, \delta_n$ form the spectrum of the $PI$ matrix. By definition of the $PI$-Estrada index and Lemma 2.6, we have

$$EE_{PI}(G)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} e^{\delta_i + \delta_j} \geq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(1 + \delta_i + \delta_j + \frac{(\delta_i + \delta_j)^2}{2} + \frac{(\delta_i + \delta_j)^3}{6}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(1 + \delta_i + \frac{\delta_i^2}{2} + \frac{\delta_j^2}{2} + \delta_i \delta_j + \frac{\delta_i^3}{6} + \frac{\delta_j^3}{6} + \frac{\delta_i \delta_j^2}{2} + \frac{\delta_i^2 \delta_j}{2}\right).$$

Now, by Equality (5),

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} (\delta_i + \delta_j) = n \sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta_i + n \sum_{j=1}^{n} \delta_j = 0.$$

By Inequality (6),

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(\frac{\delta_i^2}{2} + \frac{\delta_j^2}{2}\right) = \frac{n}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta_i^2 + \frac{n}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \delta_j^2 = n \sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta_i^2 \geq 8mn.$$

Also, by Equality (5) and Inequality (6), it holds that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\delta_i^3 \delta_j}{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\delta_i \delta_j^2}{2} = 0.$$

Similarly by inequality (7),

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(\frac{\delta_i^3}{6} + \frac{\delta_j^3}{6}\right) = \frac{n}{6} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta_i^3 + \frac{n}{6} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \delta_j^3 = \frac{n}{3} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \delta_j^3 \geq 8nt.$$

Combining the above relations, we get

$$EE_{PI}(G) \geq \sqrt{n^2 + 8n(t + m)}.$$

So, the inequality of the theorem is proved. The equality holds if and only if all $\delta_i$ are zero that is $G \cong K_n$. \hfill \Box

**Theorem 3.2.** Let $G$ be a graph with $n$ vertices, $m$ edges and $t$ triangles. Then

$$EE_{PI}(G) \geq n + 8m + \left(\sinh(1) - 1\right)24t + \left(\cosh(1) - 1\right)N_4, \quad (9)$$

where $N_4 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta_i^4$. Equality in (9) holds if and only if $G$ is the empty graph $K_n$.

**Proof.** Note that $N_0 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta_i^0 = n$, $N_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta_i = 0$ and $N_2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta_i^2 \geq 8m$. Therefore, by definition of the $PI$-Estrada index, we have

$$EE_{PI}(G) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{N_k}{k!} \geq n + 8m + \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{N_{2k+1}}{(2k+1)!} + \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{N_{2k+2}}{(2k+2)!}.$$
\[ \geq n + 8m + \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{N_k}{(2k + 1)!} + \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{N_k}{(2k + 2)!} \]
\[ \geq n + 8m + \left( \sinh(1) - 1 \right) 24t + \left( \cosh(1) - 1 \right) N_4. \]

The result follows easily. The equality holds if and only if all \( \delta_i \) are zero that is \( G \cong K_n \).

**Remark 3.1.** Note that the above result can be written as:

\[ EE_{PI}(G) \geq n + 8m + 4.2t + 0.54N_4. \]

**Theorem 3.3.** Let \( G \) be a graph with \( n \) vertices and \( m \) edges. Then for any integer \( k_0 \geq 2 \),

\[ EE_{PI}(G) \leq n - 1 - n\sqrt{2m} + \sum_{k=2}^{k_0} \frac{N_k(G) - \left( n\sqrt{2m} \right)^k}{k!} + e^{n\sqrt{2m}}. \]  \hspace{1cm} (10)

Equality holds if and only if \( G \cong K_n \).

**Proof.** Note that \( \sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta_i^2 \leq 2nm^2 \). By definition of the \( PI \)-Estrada index, we have

\[ EE_{PI}(G) = \sum_{k=0}^{k_0} \frac{N_k(G)}{k!} + \sum_{k \geq k_0 + 1} \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta_i^k \]
\[ \leq \sum_{k=0}^{k_0} \frac{N_k(G)}{k!} + \sum_{k \geq k_0 + 1} \frac{1}{k!} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta_i^k \right) \]
\[ \leq \sum_{k=0}^{k_0} \frac{N_k(G)}{k!} + \sum_{k \geq k_0 + 1} \frac{1}{k!} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta_i^2 \right)^k, \text{ by Inequality (3)} \]
\[ = \sum_{k=0}^{k_0} \frac{N_k(G)}{k!} + \sum_{k \geq k_0 + 1} \left( \frac{n\sqrt{2m}}{k!} \right)^k \]
\[ = \sum_{k=0}^{k_0} \frac{N_k(G)}{k!} + e^{n\sqrt{2m}} - \sum_{k=0}^{k_0} \left( \frac{n\sqrt{2m}}{k!} \right)^k. \]  \hspace{1cm} (12)

Considering \( k_0 = 2 \) in (12), we have the following result that only depends on number of vertices and edges of the graph. If \( G \cong K_n \), it is easy to check that the equality in (10) holds. Suppose now that the equality holds in (10). Then all the inequalities in the proof must be equalities. From Equation (3), we know that is valid if \( k \) even or all eigenvalues are negative, but we know that \( k \) cannot be just even, so all eigenvalues must be negative, by equality (5), we have \( \sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta_i = 0 \). Therefore, we get \( \delta_1 = \delta_2 = \ldots = \delta_n = 0 \), hence \( G \cong K_n \).

Now, by using Theorem 3.3, we get the next result.

**Corollary 3.1.** Let \( G \) be a graph with \( n \) vertices and \( m \) edges. Then

\[ EE_{PI}(G) \leq n - 1 - n\sqrt{2m} + e^{n\sqrt{2m}}. \]

**Lemma 3.1.** Let \( G \) be a graph with \( n \) vertices and \( m \) edges. Then

\[ \delta_1 \geq \frac{8m}{n\sqrt{2mn}}. \]  \hspace{1cm} (13)

Equality holds if and only if \( G \cong K_2 \).

**Proof.** Let \( a_i, b_i \) are decreasing non-negative sequences with \( a_1, b_1 \neq 0 \) and \( w_i \) a nonnegative sequence, for \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, n \). The following inequality is valid (see [10], p. 85)

\[ \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i a_i^2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i b_i^2 \leq \max \left\{ b_i \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i a_i, a_1 \right) \right\} \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i a_i b_i. \]  \hspace{1cm} (14)
For $a_i = b_i := |\delta_i|$, and $w_i := 1$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$, Inequality (14) becomes
\[
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta_i^2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta_i^2 \leq \max \left\{ \delta_1 \sum_{i=1}^{n} |\delta_i|, \delta_1 \sum_{i=1}^{n} |\delta_i| \right\} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta_i^2.
\]
Since
\[
\sum_{i=1}^{n} |\delta_i| = E_{PI}(G).
\]
Then
\[
\delta_1 \geq \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta_i^2}{E_{PI}(G)}.
\]
Therefore, by Lemma 2.9 and Inequality (6), we have
\[
\delta_1 \geq \frac{8m}{n\sqrt{2mn}}.
\]
It is not difficult to see that equality holds in (13) if $G \cong K_2$.

**Theorem 3.4.** Let $G$ be a graph with $n$ vertices and $m$ edges. Then
\[
EE_{PI}(G) \geq e^{\frac{8m}{n\sqrt{2mn}}} + \frac{n - 1}{e^{\frac{8m}{n\sqrt{2mn}}}}. \tag{15}
\]
Equality holds if and only if $G \cong K_2$.

**Proof.** By definition of the PI-Estrada index and using arithmetic-geometric mean inequality, we obtain
\[
EE_{PI}(G) = e^{\delta_1} + e^{\delta_2} + \cdots + e^{\delta_n} \\
\geq e^{\delta_1} + (n - 1) \left( \prod_{i=2}^{n} e^{\delta_i} \right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}} \\
= e^{\delta_1} + (n - 1) e^{\sum_{i=2}^{n} \frac{\delta_i}{n-1}} \\
= e^{\delta_1} + (n - 1) e^{\frac{-\delta_1}{n-1}}.
\]
Now, consider the following function
\[
f(x) = e^x + \frac{n - 1}{e^{\frac{8m}{n\sqrt{2mn}}}}.
\]
It is straightforward verified that $f$ is an increasing function for $x > 0$. From the Lemma 3.1, we obtain
\[
EE_{PI}(G) \geq e^{\frac{8m}{n\sqrt{2mn}}} + \frac{n - 1}{e^{\frac{8m}{n\sqrt{2mn}}} + \frac{n - 1}{e^{\frac{8m}{n\sqrt{2mn}}}}}.
\]
It is not difficult to see that equality holds in (15) if $G \cong K_2$.

**Lemma 3.2.** Let $G$ be a graph with $n$ vertices. Then
\[
EE_{PI}(G) \geq e^{\sqrt{\frac{P_1^2 + P_2^2 + \cdots + P_n^2}{n}}} + \frac{n - 1}{e^{\sqrt{\frac{P_1^2 + P_2^2 + \cdots + P_n^2}{n}}}}.
\]

**Lemma 3.3.** Let $G$ be a graph with $n$ vertices. Then
\[
EE_{PI}(G) \geq e^{\sqrt{\frac{T_1^2 + T_2^2 + \cdots + T_n^2}{P_1^2 + P_2^2 + \cdots + P_n^2}}} + \frac{n - 1}{e^{\sqrt{\frac{T_1^2 + T_2^2 + \cdots + T_n^2}{P_1^2 + P_2^2 + \cdots + P_n^2}}}}.
\]

Analogous, Theorem 3.4 also by Lemmas 2.10 and 2.11, we have the next results.
Now, by combining Lemma 2.1 with Lemmas 2.2, 2.3, 2.4, and 2.5, we give lower bounds for the $PI$-Estrada index of connected graphs, cycle graphs and tree graphs.

**Corollary 3.2.** Let $G$ be a connected graph with $n$ vertices, $m$ edges, $p$ pendant vertices, and clique number $\omega$ ($\omega \geq 3$). Then

1) $EE_{PI}(G) \geq e^{M_1(G) - 2m + \frac{n-1}{e^{(n-1)-m}}}$

2) $EE_{PI}(G) \geq e^{2m+(n-2)p+(n-\omega)(\omega-1)} + \frac{n-1}{e^{2m+(n-2)p+(n-\omega)(\omega-1)}}$

**Corollary 3.3.** Let $C_n$ be a cycle graph with $n \geq 3$ vertices. Then

1) $EE_{PI}(C_{2n+1}) \geq e^{4(n-1)} + \frac{n-1}{e^{4}}$

2) $EE_{PI}(C_{2n}) \geq e^{2(2n+1)} + \frac{n-1}{e^{2(2n+1)}}$

**Corollary 3.4.** Let $T$ be a tree with $n$ vertices, $n \geq 2$. Then

$EE_{PI}(T_n) \geq e^{(n-1)(n-2)} + \frac{n-1}{e^{(n-2)}}$

**Theorem 3.5.** Let $G$ be a non-empty graph with $n$ vertices and $m$ edges. Then

$EE_{PI}(G) < e^{n\sqrt{2m}}$. \hfill (16)

**Proof.** By definition of the $PI$-Estrada index and Inequalities (3) and (6), we have

$$EE_{PI}(G) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} e^{\delta_i} < \sum_{i=1}^{n} e^{|\delta_i|} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(|\delta_i|)^k}{k!} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (|\delta_i|)^k \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\delta_i)^2 \right)^{\frac{k}{2}} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\delta_i)^2 \right)^{\frac{k}{2}} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \left( n\sqrt{2m} \right)^k = e^{n\sqrt{2m}}.$$

\[\square\]

4. **Concluding remarks**

In this paper, considering the eigenvalues of the $PI$-matrix, upper and lower bounds are established for the $PI$-Estrada index of a graph as a function of various combinatorial and spectral invariants of the given graph. Considering this matrix, a future problem is to obtain a generalization for directed graphs.
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