

Research Article

Some degree-based topological indices and (normalized Laplacian) energy of graphs

Zimo Yan, Xie Zheng, Jianping Li*

College of Liberal Arts and Sciences, National University of Defense Technology, Changsha, Hunan, 410073, P. R. China

(Received: 22 April 2022. Received in revised form: 6 August 2022. Accepted: 9 September 2022. Published online: 20 September 2022.)

© 2022 the authors. This is an open access article under the CC BY (International 4.0) license (www.creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Abstract

In this paper, by utilizing the concept of the energy of a vertex, connections between some vertex-degree-based topological indices (including the general Randić index, the first Zagreb index, and the forgotten index) and the energy of graphs are established. Several bounds on the energy of the graphs containing no isolated vertices are also given in terms of the first Zagreb index and the forgotten index. Moreover, bounds on the normalized Laplacian energy in terms of two particular cases of the general Randić index are obtained.

Keywords: topological indices; graph energy; normalized Laplacian energy; energy of a vertex.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 05C05, 05C35, 05C69.

1. Introduction

Topological indices are numerical values associated with molecular hydrogen-depleted graphs of chemical compounds. They provide a useful tool for giving a compact and effective description of structural formulas of chemical compounds. Topological indices are utilized for correlating the chemical structures with their physical properties, chemical reactivity, or biological activity [7, 17, 18]. Among such indices, vertex-degree-based topological indices and the graph energy have attracted a lot of attention from researchers.

Let $G = (V(G), E(G))$ be a simple and undirected graph with vertex set the $V(G) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ and the edge set $E(G)$. The degree of a vertex v , denote by $d(v)$, is the number of its neighbors. The maximum and minimum degrees of a graph are denoted by Δ and δ , respectively.

A vertex-degree-based (VDB, for short) topological index is defined for a graph G as

$$TI = TI(G) = \sum_{v_i v_j \in E(G)} F(d(v_i), d(v_j))$$

where $F(x, y)$ is some function with property $F(x, y) = F(y, x)$. Some examples of the function F are listed below:

VDB topological indices	Notation	$F(x, y)$
General Randić index [4]	R_α	$(xy)^\alpha$
First Zagreb index [13]	M_1	$x + y$
Forgotten index [8]	F	$x^2 + y^2$
Sombor index [12]	SO	$\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$

The ordinary Randić index is equal to $R_{-1/2}$, a famous topological index, which is correlated with a variety of physico-chemical properties of chemical compounds. The adjacency matrix $A(G)$ of the graph G is a matrix whose (i, j) -entry is equal to 1 if $v_i v_j \in E(G)$ and 0 otherwise. For a graph G , the normalized Laplacian matrix $\mathcal{L}(G)$ is a matrix whose (i, j) -entry is

$$\mathcal{L}(G)_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = j, \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{d(v_i)d(v_j)}} & \text{if } i \neq j \text{ and } v_i v_j \in E(G), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

*Corresponding author (lijianping65@nudt.edu.cn).

Let $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n$ be the eigenvalues of $A(G)$ and let $\eta_1 \geq \eta_2 \geq \dots \geq \eta_n = 0$ be the eigenvalues of $\mathcal{L}(G)$. The concept of the (ordinary) energy of a graph G was proposed by Gutman [10] in 1978, which is defined as

$$\mathcal{E}(G) = \sum_{i=1}^n |\lambda_i|.$$

Cavers [5] considered the energy of a simple and undirected graph with respect to its normalized Laplacian eigenvalues. The normalized Laplacian energy (\mathcal{L} -energy) of a graph G is defined as

$$\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{L}}(G) = \sum_{i=1}^n |\lambda_i(\mathcal{L}) - 1| = \sum_{i=1}^n |\lambda_i(I - \mathcal{L})|.$$

Finding connections between the graph energy and VDB indices is an interesting issue. For a graph G , Cavers [5] determined some bounds for the \mathcal{L} -energy $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{L}}(G)$ based on the topological index $R_{-1}(G)$. In the references [6, 11, 14–16], the authors gave relations between VDB topological indices and their corresponding energies; Das et al. [6] referred to these energies as the “degree-based graph energies”. In 2018, Arizmendi et al. [2] introduced the concept of the energy of a vertex. By utilizing this new idea, Arizmendi and Arizmendi [1] found a way to relate Randić index to the ordinary energy of a graph G ; they showed that $\mathcal{E}(G) \geq 2R_{-1/2}(G)$. Soon after, Yan et al. [21] gave an upper bound on $\mathcal{E}(G)$ in terms of $R_{-1/2}(G)$ and $\Delta(G)$; namely $\mathcal{E}(G) \leq 2\sqrt{\Delta(G)}R_{-1/2}(G)$. Recently, Ülker et al. [19, 20] determined some connections between the topological indices $\mathcal{E}(G)$ and $SO(G)$.

In 2022, Guerrero [9] proposed the idea of the Laplacian energy of a vertex and the normalized Laplacian energy of a vertex. We adopt a new approach (similar to the one used in [1]) to relate $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{L}}(G)$ with $R_{-1}(G)$ by utilizing the concept of the normalized Laplacian energy of a vertex.

Motivated by the papers [1, 2, 9, 19–21], in the present study, we establish connections between some vertex-degree-based topological indices (including the general Randić index, the first Zagreb index, and the forgotten index) and the energy of graphs. The structure of this paper is listed below. In Section 2, we give several bounds on the energy of graphs containing no isolated vertices in terms of the first Zagreb and forgotten indices. In Section 3, we relate the normalized Laplacian energy with the topological indices $R_{-1/2}$ and R_{-1} .

2. Energy, first Zagreb index, and forgotten index of graphs

In this section, we give some bounds on the energy of graphs in terms of the first Zagreb index (M_1) and the forgotten index (F), by using the concept of the energy of a vertex.

For a matrix M , we denote its trace by $Tr(M)$ and its absolute value $(MM^*)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ by $|M|$. The energy of a graph G can be written as

$$\mathcal{E}(G) = Tr(|A(G)|) = \sum_{i=1}^n |A(G)|_{ii}.$$

Definition 2.1 (see [3]). *The energy of the vertex v_i of a graph G is given by*

$$\mathcal{E}(v_i) = |A(G)|_{ii}, \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n,$$

where $|A| = (AA^*)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and A is the adjacency matrix of G .

For an edge $e = (v_i, v_j)$ define $\mathcal{E}(e) = \mathcal{E}(v_i)/d(v_i) + \mathcal{E}(v_j)/d(v_j)$. One can see

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{e \in E(G)} \mathcal{E}(e) &= \sum_{v_i v_j \in E(G)} \left(\frac{\mathcal{E}(v_i)}{d(v_i)} + \frac{\mathcal{E}(v_j)}{d(v_j)} \right) \\ &= \sum_{v_i v_j \in E(G)} \frac{\mathcal{E}(v_i)}{d(v_i)} + \sum_{v_i v_j \in E(G)} \frac{\mathcal{E}(v_j)}{d(v_j)} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{v_i \in V(G)} \sum_{v_i v_j \in E(G)} \frac{\mathcal{E}(v_i)}{d(v_i)} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{v_j \in V(G)} \sum_{v_i v_j \in E(G)} \frac{\mathcal{E}(v_j)}{d(v_j)} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{v_i \in V(G)} \mathcal{E}(v_i) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{v_j \in V(G)} \mathcal{E}(v_j) \\ &= \sum_{v \in V(G)} \mathcal{E}(v) = \mathcal{E}(G). \end{aligned}$$

Before giving the main results, we illustrate some useful lemmas.

Lemma 2.1 (see [2]). *Let G be a graph with at least one edge. Then*

$$\mathcal{E}(v) \geq \sqrt{\frac{d(v)}{\Delta(G)}}, \text{ for all } v \in V.$$

Equality holds if and only if $G \cong K_{d,\Delta}$.

Lemma 2.2 (see [2]). *For a graph G and a vertex $v \in G$,*

$$\mathcal{E}(v) \leq \sqrt{d(v)},$$

with equality if and only if the connected component containing v_i is isomorphic to S_n and v is its center.

We now prove the following theorems by utilizing Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2.

Theorem 2.1. *Let G be a graph with the energy $\mathcal{E}(G)$ and the first Zagreb index $M_1(G)$, then*

$$\mathcal{E}(G) \geq \sqrt{\frac{\delta(G)}{\Delta^5(G)}} M_1(G),$$

where the equality holds if and only if $G \cong tK_{\frac{n}{2t}, \frac{n}{2t}}$; $\frac{n}{2t}, t \in \mathbb{N}$. Also, it holds that

$$\mathcal{E}(G) \leq \frac{\sqrt{\Delta(G)}}{\delta^2(G)} M_1(G),$$

with equality if and only if $G \cong mP_2$; $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. We start from observing that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}(G) &= \sum_{e \in E(G)} \mathcal{E}(e) \\ &= \sum_{v_i v_j \in E(G)} \left(\frac{\mathcal{E}(v_i)}{d(v_i)} + \frac{\mathcal{E}(v_j)}{d(v_j)} \right) \\ &\geq \sum_{v_i v_j \in E(G)} \left(\frac{\sqrt{\frac{d(v_i)}{\Delta(G)}}}{d(v_i)} + \frac{\sqrt{\frac{d(v_j)}{\Delta(G)}}}{d(v_j)} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\Delta(G)}} \sum_{v_i v_j \in E(G)} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{d(v_i)}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{d(v_j)}} \right) \\ &\geq \sqrt{\frac{\delta(G)}{\Delta(G)}} \sum_{v_i v_j \in E(G)} \left(\frac{1}{d(v_i)} + \frac{1}{d(v_j)} \right) \\ &\geq \sqrt{\frac{\delta(G)}{\Delta^5(G)}} \sum_{v_i v_j \in E(G)} (d(v_i) + d(v_j)) \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{\delta(G)}{\Delta^5(G)}} M_1(G). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.1, the equality holds throughout in the above inequalities if and only if

$$\mathcal{E}(v_i) = \sqrt{\frac{d(v_i)}{\Delta(G)}} = \sqrt{\frac{\delta(G)}{\Delta(G)}}$$

for any vertex $v_i \in V(G)$. Thus, G is the union of complete bipartite graphs with degree $d(v_i) = \frac{n}{2t}$. Analogously, we obtain the upper bound.

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}(G) &= \sum_{e \in E(G)} \mathcal{E}(e) = \sum_{v_i v_j \in E(G)} \left(\frac{\mathcal{E}(v_i)}{d(v_i)} + \frac{\mathcal{E}(v_j)}{d(v_j)} \right) \\ &\leq \sum_{v_i v_j \in E(G)} \left(\frac{\sqrt{d(v_i)}}{d(v_i)} + \frac{\sqrt{d(v_j)}}{d(v_j)} \right) \\ &\leq \sqrt{\Delta(G)} \sum_{v_i v_j \in E(G)} \left(\frac{d(v_i) + d(v_j)}{d(v_i)d(v_j)} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{\sqrt{\Delta(G)}}{\delta^2(G)} \sum_{v_i v_j \in E(G)} (d(v_i) + d(v_j)) \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{\Delta(G)}}{\delta^2(G)} M_1(G). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.2, the equality holds throughout in the above inequalities if and only if for any vertex $v_i \in V(G)$,

$$\mathcal{E}(v_i) = \sqrt{d(v_i)} = \sqrt{\delta(G)} = \sqrt{\Delta(G)},$$

which implies that G is the union of the path P_2 . □

Theorem 2.2. *Let G be a graph with the energy $\mathcal{E}(G)$ and the forgotten index $F(G)$, then*

$$\mathcal{E}(G) \geq \sqrt{\frac{\delta^3(G)}{\Delta^9(G)}} F(G),$$

with equality if and only if $G \cong tK_{\frac{n}{2t}, \frac{n}{2t}}, \frac{n}{2t}, t \in \mathbb{N}$. Also,

$$\mathcal{E}(G) \leq \frac{\sqrt{\Delta^3(G)}}{\delta^4(G)} F(G).$$

with equality if and only if $G \cong mP_2; m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Similar to the proof of Theorem 2.1, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}(G) &= \sum_{e \in E(G)} \mathcal{E}(e) \\ &= \sum_{v_i v_j \in E(G)} \left(\frac{\mathcal{E}(v_i)}{d(v_i)} + \frac{\mathcal{E}(v_j)}{d(v_j)} \right) \\ &\geq \sum_{v_i v_j \in E(G)} \left(\frac{\sqrt{\frac{d(v_i)}{\Delta(G)}}}{d(v_i)} + \frac{\sqrt{\frac{d(v_j)}{\Delta(G)}}}{d(v_j)} \right) \\ &\geq \sqrt{\frac{\delta^3(G)}{\Delta(G)}} \sum_{v_i v_j \in E(G)} \left(\frac{1}{d^2(v_i)} + \frac{1}{d^2(v_j)} \right) \\ &\geq \sqrt{\frac{\delta^3(G)}{\Delta^9(G)}} \sum_{v_i v_j \in E(G)} (d^2(v_i) + d^2(v_j)) \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{\delta^3(G)}{\Delta^9(G)}} F(G). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.1, the equality holds if and only if

$$\mathcal{E}(v_i) = \sqrt{\frac{d(v_i)}{\Delta(G)}} = \sqrt{\frac{\delta(G)}{\Delta(G)}}$$

for any vertex $v_i \in V(G)$, that is, G is the union of complete bipartite graphs with degree $d(v_i) = \frac{n}{2t}$.

Correspondingly, the upper bound is obtained as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}(G) &= \sum_{e \in E(G)} \mathcal{E}(e) = \sum_{v_i v_j \in E(G)} \left(\frac{\mathcal{E}(v_i)}{d(v_i)} + \frac{\mathcal{E}(v_j)}{d(v_j)} \right) \\ &\leq \sum_{v_i v_j \in E(G)} \left(\frac{\sqrt{d(v_i)}}{d(v_i)} + \frac{\sqrt{d(v_j)}}{d(v_j)} \right) \\ &\leq \sqrt{\Delta^3(G)} \sum_{v_i v_j \in E(G)} \left(\frac{d^2(v_i) + d^2(v_j)}{d^2(v_i)d^2(v_j)} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{\sqrt{\Delta^3(G)}}{\delta^4(G)} \sum_{v_i v_j \in E(G)} (d^2(v_i) + d^2(v_j)) \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{\Delta^3(G)}}{\delta^4(G)} F(G), \end{aligned}$$

where all equalities hold if and only if for any vertex $v_i \in V(G)$,

$$\mathcal{E}(v_i) = \sqrt{d(v_i)} = \sqrt{\delta(G)} = \sqrt{\Delta(G)}.$$

Therefore, G is the union of the path P_2 . □

3. Normalized Laplacian energy and general Randić index

In this section, inspired by the papers [1, 21], we relate the Randić index (a classical topological index) with the energy of the normalized Laplacian matrix. We first study the energy of vertices obtained by using the normalized Laplacian matrix \mathcal{L} as defined by Guerrero [9].

Definition 3.1 (see [9]). *For a connected simple graph $G = (V, E)$, the normalized Laplacian energy of a vertex $v_i \in V$ is defined as*

$$\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{L}}(v_i) := \phi_i(|\mathcal{L} - I|),$$

where the positive linear functional $\phi_i : M_n(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined by $\phi_i(M_n) = M_{ii}$.

The normalized Laplacian energy can be written as the sum of individual energy of the vertices of G :

$$\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{L}}(G) = \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{L}}(v_1) + \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{L}}(v_2) + \cdots + \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{L}}(v_n).$$

Along the same line as we obtained bounds on the graph energy in the previous section, we now derive bounds for the normalized Laplacian energy in terms of the topological indices $R_{-1}(G)$ and $R_{-1/2}(G)$.

Lemma 3.1 (see [9]). *If G is a connected graph, then*

$$\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{L}}(v_i) \geq \frac{1}{d(v_i)} \sum_{v_j \in V(G): v_i v_j \in E(G)} \frac{1}{d(v_j)}.$$

The equality holds if and only if G is a complete bipartite graph.

Lemma 3.2 (see [9]). *If G is a connected graph, then*

$$\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{L}}(v_i) \leq \sqrt{\frac{1}{d(v_i)} \sum_{v_j \in V(G): v_i v_j \in E(G)} \frac{1}{d(v_j)}}.$$

The equality holds if and only if G is a star and v_i is its center.

Theorem 3.1. *Let G be a graph with Randić index $R_{-\frac{1}{2}}(G)$. Then*

$$\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{L}}(G) \geq \frac{2}{\Delta^2(G)} R_{-\frac{1}{2}}(G),$$

where the equality holds if and only if $G \cong tK_{\frac{n}{2t}, \frac{n}{2t}}; \frac{n}{2t}, t \in \mathbb{N}$. Also, it holds that

$$\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{L}}(G) \leq \frac{2}{\delta(G)} R_{-\frac{1}{2}}(G),$$

with equality if and only if $G \cong mP_2; m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. For an edge $e = (v_i, v_j)$, define $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{L}}(e) = \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{L}}(v_i)/d(v_i) + \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{L}}(v_j)/d(v_j)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{e \in E(G)} \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{L}}(e) &= \sum_{v_i v_j \in E(G)} \left(\frac{\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{L}}(v_i)}{d(v_i)} + \frac{\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{L}}(v_j)}{d(v_j)} \right) \\ &= \sum_{v_i v_j \in E(G)} \frac{\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{L}}(v_i)}{d(v_i)} + \sum_{v_i v_j \in E(G)} \frac{\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{L}}(v_j)}{d(v_j)} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{v_i \in V(G)} \sum_{v_i v_j \in E(G)} \frac{\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{L}}(v_i)}{d(v_i)} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{v_j \in V(G)} \sum_{v_j v_i \in E(G)} \frac{\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{L}}(v_j)}{d(v_j)} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{v_i \in V(G)} \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{L}}(v_i) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{v_j \in V(G)} \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{L}}(v_j) \\ &= \sum_{v_j \in V(G)} \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{L}}(v_j) = \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{L}}(G). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{L}}(G) &= \sum_{v_i v_j \in E(G)} \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{L}}(e) = \sum_{v_i v_j \in E(G)} \left(\frac{\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{L}}(v_i)}{d(v_i)} + \frac{\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{L}}(v_j)}{d(v_j)} \right) \\ &\geq \sum_{v_i v_j \in E(G)} \left(\frac{\frac{1}{d(v_j)} \sum_{v_i \in V(G): v_i v_j \in E(G)} \frac{1}{d(v_i)}}{d(v_j)} + \frac{\frac{1}{d(v_i)} \sum_{v_j \in V(G): v_j v_i \in E(G)} \frac{1}{d(v_j)}}{d(v_i)} \right) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{\Delta(G)} \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{v_j \in V(G)} \frac{1}{d(v_j)} \sum_{v_i v_j \in E(G)} \frac{1}{d(v_i)} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{v_i \in V(G)} \frac{1}{d(v_i)} \sum_{v_j v_i \in E(G)} \frac{1}{d(v_j)} \right) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{\Delta^2(G)} \sum_{v_i \in V(G)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{d(v_i)}} \sum_{v_j v_i \in E(G)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{d(v_j)}} = \frac{2}{\Delta^2(G)} R_{-\frac{1}{2}}(G), \end{aligned}$$

where all equalities hold if and only if for any vertex,

$$\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{L}}(v_i) = \frac{1}{d(v_i)} \sum_{v_i v_j \in E(G)} \frac{1}{d(v_j)} = \frac{1}{\Delta(G)} \sum_{v_i v_j \in E(G)} \frac{1}{d(v_j)}.$$

Hence, G is the union of complete bipartite graphs with degree $d(v_i) = \frac{n}{2i}$. Similarly, by Lemma 3.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{L}}(G) &= \sum_{v_i v_j \in E(G)} \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{L}}(e) = \sum_{v_i v_j \in E(G)} \left(\frac{\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{L}}(v_i)}{d(v_i)} + \frac{\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{L}}(v_j)}{d(v_j)} \right) \\ &\leq \sum_{v_i v_j \in E(G)} \left(\frac{\sqrt{\frac{1}{d(v_j)} \sum_{v_i v_j \in E(G)} \frac{1}{d(v_i)}}}{d(v_i)} + \frac{\sqrt{\frac{1}{d(v_i)} \sum_{v_j v_i \in E(G)} \frac{1}{d(v_j)}}}{d(v_j)} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\delta(G)} \sum_{v_i v_j \in E(G)} \left(\sqrt{\frac{1}{d(v_i)} \sum_{v_i v_j \in E(G)} \frac{1}{d(v_i)}} + \sqrt{\frac{1}{d(v_j)} \sum_{v_j v_i \in E(G)} \frac{1}{d(v_i)}} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\delta(G)} \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{v_i \in V(G)} \sqrt{\frac{1}{d(v_i)}} \sum_{v_i v_j \in E(G)} \sqrt{\frac{1}{d(v_i)}} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{v_j \in V(G)} \sqrt{\frac{1}{d(v_j)}} \sum_{v_j v_i \in E(G)} \sqrt{\frac{1}{d(v_j)}} \right) \\ &= \frac{2}{\delta(G)} R_{-\frac{1}{2}}(G), \end{aligned}$$

where all equalities hold if and only if for any vertex,

$$\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{L}}(v_i) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{d(v_i)} \sum_{v_i v_j \in E(G)} \frac{1}{d(v_j)}} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{\delta(G)} \sum_{v_i v_j \in E(G)} \frac{1}{d(v_j)}}.$$

That is, G is the union of the path P_2 . □

In [9], Guerrero determined a lower bound on $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{L}}(G)$ by using the normalized Laplacian energy of a vertex.

Theorem 3.2 (see [9]). *If G is a graph then $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{L}}(G) \geq 2R_{-1}(G)$.*

Now, we give an upper bound on the normalized Laplacian energy in terms of the minimum degree and topological index R_{-1} .

Theorem 3.3. *If G is a graph then*

$$\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{L}}(G) \leq \frac{2}{\delta^2(G)} R_{-1}(G),$$

where the equality holds if and only if $G \cong mP_2$; $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. By Lemma 3.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{L}}(G) &= \sum_{v_i v_j \in E(G)} \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{L}}(e) = \sum_{v_i v_j \in E(G)} \left(\frac{\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{L}}(v_i)}{d(v_i)} + \frac{\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{L}}(v_j)}{d(v_j)} \right) \\ &\leq \sum_{v_i v_j \in E(G)} \left(\frac{\sqrt{\frac{1}{d(v_j)} \sum_{v_i v_j \in E(G)} \frac{1}{d(v_i)}}}{d(v_i)} + \frac{\sqrt{\frac{1}{d(v_i)} \sum_{v_j v_i \in E(G)} \frac{1}{d(v_j)}}}{d(v_j)} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\delta(G)} \sum_{v_i v_j \in E(G)} \left(\sqrt{\frac{1}{d(v_i)} \sum_{v_i v_j \in E(G)} \frac{1}{d(v_j)}} + \sqrt{\frac{1}{d(v_j)} \sum_{v_j v_i \in E(G)} \frac{1}{d(v_i)}} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\delta(G)} \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{v_i \in V(G)} \sqrt{\frac{1}{d(v_i)}} \sum_{v_i v_j \in E(G)} \sqrt{\frac{1}{d(v_i)}} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{v_j \in V(G)} \sqrt{\frac{1}{d(v_j)}} \sum_{v_j v_i \in E(G)} \sqrt{\frac{1}{d(v_j)}} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\delta^2(G)} \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{v_i \in V(G)} \frac{1}{d(v_i)} \sum_{v_i v_j \in E(G)} \frac{1}{d(v_j)} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{v_j \in V(G)} \frac{1}{d(v_j)} \sum_{v_j v_i \in E(G)} \frac{1}{d(v_i)} \right) \\ &= \frac{2}{\delta^2(G)} R_{-1}(G), \end{aligned}$$

where all equalities hold if and only if for any vertex,

$$\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{L}}(v_i) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{d(v_i)} \sum_{v_i v_j \in E(G)} \frac{1}{d(v_j)}} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{\delta(G)} \sum_{v_i v_j \in E(G)} \frac{1}{d(v_j)}}.$$

That is, G is the union of the path P_2 . □

Acknowledgements

The authors express their sincere gratitude to the anonymous referees for reading the manuscript very carefully and for providing insightful suggestions. This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant number 61773020) and Postgraduate Scientific Research Innovation Project of Human Province (grant number CX20200033).

References

- [1] G. Arizmendi, O. Arizmendi, Energy of a graph and Randić index, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **609** (2021) 332–338.
- [2] O. Arizmendi, J. Fernandez, O. Juarez-Romero, Energy of a vertex, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **557** (2018) 464–495.
- [3] O. Arizmendi, O. Juarez-Romero, On bounds for the energy of graphs and digraphs, *Contemp. Math.* **709** (2018) 1–19.
- [4] B. Bollobás, P. Erdős, Graphs of extremal weights, *Ars Combin.* **50** (1998) 225–233.
- [5] M. Cavers, S. Fallat, S. Kirkland, On the normalized Laplacian energy and general Randić index R_{-1} of graphs, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **433** (2010) 172–190.
- [6] K. C. Das, I. Gutman, I. Milovanović, E. Milovanović, B. Furtula, Degree-based energies of graphs, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **554** (2018) 185–204.
- [7] J. Devillers, A. T. Balaban, *Topological Indices and Related Descriptors in QSAR and QSPR*, Gordon & Breach, Amsterdam, 1999.
- [8] B. Furtula, I. Gutman, A forgotten topological index, *J. Math. Chem.* **53** (2015) 1184–1190.
- [9] J. Guerrero, Laplacian energies of vertices, *arXiv:2201.01252* [math.CO], (2022).
- [10] I. Gutman, The energy of a graph. *Ber. Math. Stat. Sect. Forschungsz. Graz.* (103) (1978) 1–22.
- [11] I. Gutman, Relating graph energy with vertex-degree-based energies, *Milit. Techn. Cour.* **68** (2020) 715–725.
- [12] I. Gutman, Geometric approach to degree-based topological indices: Sombor indices, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* **86** (2021) 11–16.
- [13] I. Gutman, N. Trinajstić, Graph theory and molecular orbitals, total π -electron energy of alternant hydrocarbons, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **17** (1972) 535–538.

- [14] X. Li, Y. Li, J. Song, The asymptotic value of graph energy for random graphs with degree-based weights, *Discrete Appl. Math.* **284** (2020) 481–488.
- [15] X. Li, Z. Wang, Trees with extremal spectral radius of weighted adjacency matrices among trees weighted by degree-based indices, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **860** (2021) 61–75.
- [16] C. Liu, Y. Pan, L. Dai, J. Li, Some eigenvalue properties and new bounds for the energy of extended adjacency matrix of graphs, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* **84** (2020) 349–362.
- [17] R. Todeschini, V. Consonni, *Handbook of Molecular Descriptors*, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2000.
- [18] R. Todeschini, V. Consonni, *Molecular Descriptors for Chemoinformatics*, Volumes 1 and 2, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2009.
- [19] A. Ülker, A. Gürsoy, N. K. Gürsoy, The energy and Sombor index of graphs, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* **87** (2022) 51–58.
- [20] A. Ülker, A. Gürsoy, N. K. Gürsoy, I. Gutman, Relating graph energy and Sombor index, *Discrete Math. Lett.* **8** (2022) 6–9.
- [21] Z. Yan, C. Liu, Y. Pan, J. Li, Energy, Randić index and maximum degree of graphs. *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* **86** (2021) 539–542.