

The edge-strength of graphs*

Rikio Ichishima^{1,†}, Akito Oshima², Yukio Takahashi³

¹Department of Sport and Physical Education, Faculty of Physical Education, Kokushikan University, Tama-shi, Tokyo 206-8515, Japan

²Graph Theory and Applications Research Group, School of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science, Faculty of Engineering and Built Environment, The University of Newcastle, NSW 2308 Australia

³Department of Science and Engineering, Faculty of Electronics and Informations, Kokushikan University, Setagaya-ku, Tokyo 154-8515, Japan

(Received: 24 February 2020. Received in revised form: 27 April 2020. Accepted: 27 April 2020. Published online: 1 May 2020.)

© 2020 the authors. This is an open access article under the CC BY (International 4.0) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

Abstract

The optimization problem studied in this paper is, for any graph G of size q , how labels $1, 2, \dots, q$ can be assigned to the edges of G to minimize the maximum of the additions between the labels of all pairs of adjacent edges. If it is possible for a graph G , then we say that the minimum such number is the edge-strength of G . We provide formulas for the edge-strength of some classes of graphs whose line graphs are defined in terms of various graph operations.

Keywords: edge-strength; strength; line graph; graph operation; graph labeling; combinatorial optimization.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 05C76, 05C78, 90C27.

1. Introduction

Only graphs without loops or multiple edges are considered in this paper. Undefined graph theoretical notation and terminology can be found in [2]. The *vertex set* of a graph G is denoted by $V(G)$, while the *edge set* of G is denoted by $E(G)$. The *complete bipartite graph* with partite sets U and V , where $|U| = m$ and $|V| = n$, is denoted by $K_{m,n}$. The graph $K_{1,n}$ is called a *star*. The graph with n vertices and no edges is referred to as the *empty graph*. The *degree of a vertex* v in a graph G is the number of edges of G incident with v , which is denoted by $\deg v$. A vertex of degree 1 is called an *end-vertex* of G . The *minimum degree* of G is the minimum degree among the vertices of G and is denoted by $\delta(G)$. A graph G is *regular* of degree r if $\deg v = r$ for each $v \in V(G)$. Such graphs are called *r -regular*. An *r -regular subgraph* H of a graph G is an *r -factor* of G if $V(H) = V(G)$.

An extensive survey on graph labeling problems as well as their applications has been given by Gallian [8]. Among all graph labeling problems, bandwidth numbering of graphs has perhaps attracted the most attention in the literature. The bandwidth numbering was independently proposed by Harary [9] and Harper [10]. The motivation for these numberings came from the study of sparse matrix computations, representing data structures by linear arrays, VLSI layouts and mutual simulations of interconnection networks (see [3, 4, 15]).

For the sake of notational convenience, we will denote the interval of integers k such that $i \leq k \leq j$ by simply writing $[i, j]$. A *numbering* f of a graph G of order p is a labeling that assigns distinct elements of the set $[1, p]$ to the vertices of G . The *bandwidth* $\text{band}(G)$ of G is

$$\text{band}(G) = \min \{ \text{band}_f(G) \mid f \text{ is a numbering of } G \},$$

where

$$\text{band}_f(G) = \max \{ |f(u) - f(v)| \mid uv \in E(G) \}.$$

Additive analogues for bandwidth numberings of graphs have been introduced in [11] as a generalization of the problem of finding whether a graph is super edge-magic or not (see [6] for the definition of a super edge-magic graph, and also consult [1, 7] for alternative and often more useful definitions of the same concept). The *strength* $\text{str}(G)$ of G is

$$\text{str}(G) = \min \{ \text{str}_f(G) \mid f \text{ is a numbering of } G \},$$

where

$$\text{str}_f(G) = \max \{ f(u) + f(v) \mid uv \in E(G) \}.$$

*Dedicated to the memory of Emeritus Professor Haruo Kawasaki

†Corresponding author (ichishim@kokushikan.ac.jp)

If G is an empty graph, then $\text{str}(G)$ is undefined (or we could define $\text{str}(G) = +\infty$).

Several bounds for the strength have been found in terms of other invariants defined on graphs (see [11, 14]). Among others, the following result that provides a lower bound for the strength of a graph in terms of its order and minimum degree has been proven to be useful (see [11–14]).

Lemma 1.1. *For every graph G of order p with $\delta(G) \geq 1$,*

$$\text{str}(G) \geq p + \delta(G).$$

The strengths for the paths P_n , the cycles C_n , the complete graphs K_n of order n and the 1-regular graphs nP_2 were determined in [11]. These classes of graphs illustrate the sharpness of the bound given in Lemma 1.1.

Lemma 1.2.

- (i) *For every integer $n \geq 2$, $\text{str}(P_n) = n + 1$.*
- (ii) *For every integer $n \geq 3$, $\text{str}(C_n) = n + 2$.*
- (iii) *For every integer $n \geq 2$, $\text{str}(K_n) = 2n - 1$.*
- (iv) *For every positive integer n , $\text{str}(nP_2) = 2n + 1$.*

In this paper, we study the following problem that concerns labeling the edges of a graph in terms of its size rather than its order. An *edge numbering* f of a graph G of size q is a labeling that assigns distinct elements of the set $[1, q]$ to the edges of G . The *edge-strength* $\text{estr}(G)$ of G is defined by

$$\text{estr}(G) = \min \{ \text{estr}_f(G) \mid f \text{ is an edge numbering of } G \},$$

where

$$\text{estr}_f(G) = \max \{ f(e_1) + f(e_2) \mid e_1, e_2 \text{ are adjacent edges of } G \}.$$

The determination of $\text{estr}(G)$ can be transformed into a problem dealing with strengths, namely, from the definitions it is immediate that

$$\text{estr}(G) = \text{str}(L(G)),$$

where $L(G)$ is the line graph of G . The *line graph* $L(G)$ of a graph G is that graph whose vertices can be put in one-to-one correspondence with the edges of G in such a way that two vertices of $L(G)$ are adjacent if and only if the corresponding edges of G are adjacent. The line graph $L(G)$ is empty if and only if every component of G is either K_1 or K_2 . In such a case, $\text{estr}(G)$ is undefined (or we could define $\text{estr}(G) = +\infty$).

2. The edge-strength of graphs

In this section, we present formulas for the edge-strength of some classes of graphs whose line graphs are defined in terms of graph operations described next. In the following definitions, we assume that G_1 and G_2 are two graphs with disjoint vertex sets.

The *union* $G \cong G_1 \cup G_2$ has $V(G) = V(G_1) \cup V(G_2)$ and $E(G) = E(G_1) \cup E(G_2)$. If a graph G consists of k disjoint copies of a graph H , then we write $G \cong kH$, where $k \geq 2$.

If G_1 has order p , the *corona* $G_1 \odot G_2$ is the graph obtained by taking one copy of G_1 and p copies of G_2 and joining the i th vertex of G_1 with an edge to every vertex in the i th copy of G_2 .

The *join* $G \cong G_1 + G_2$ has $V(G) = V(G_1) \cup V(G_2)$ and

$$E(G) = E(G_1) \cup E(G_2) \cup \{uv \mid u \in V(G_1) \text{ and } v \in V(G_2)\}.$$

The *cartesian product* $G \cong G_1 \times G_2$ has $V(G) = V(G_1) \times V(G_2)$, and two vertices (u_1, u_2) and (v_1, v_2) of G are adjacent if and only if either $u_1 = v_1$ and $u_2v_2 \in E(G_2)$ or $u_2 = v_2$ and $u_1v_1 \in E(G_1)$.

It is evident that $L(P_n) \cong P_{n-1}$, $L(K_{1,n}) \cong K_n$, $L(nP_3) \cong nP_2$ and $L(C_n) \cong C_n$. From these facts and Lemma 1.2, the next result is obtained rather easily.

Theorem 2.1.

- (i) *For every integer $n \geq 3$, $\text{estr}(P_n) = n$.*
- (ii) *For every integer $n \geq 2$, $\text{estr}(K_{1,n}) = 2n - 1$.*
- (iii) *For every positive integer n , $\text{estr}(nP_3) = 2n + 1$.*
- (iv) *For every integer $n \geq 3$, $\text{estr}(C_n) = n + 2$.*

For a corona of two graphs, the following result was established in [12].

Theorem 2.2. *If G is a graph of order p with $\delta(G) \geq 1$ and $\text{str}(G) = p + \delta(G)$, then*

$$\text{str}(G \odot mK_1) = (m + 1)p + 1$$

for every positive integer m .

The *subdivision graph* $S(G)$ of a graph G is that graph obtained from G by replacing each $uv \in E(G)$ by a vertex w and edges uw and vw . For example, if $G \cong K_{1,n}$ ($n \geq 2$), then $L(S(G)) \cong K_n \odot K_1$. Since $|V(K_n)| = n$ and $\delta(K_n) = n - 1$, it follows from Lemma 1.2 that K_n satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem 2.2. Hence, we have the next result.

Theorem 2.3. *For every integer $n \geq 2$,*

$$\text{estr}(S(K_{1,n})) = 2n + 1.$$

The *complement* \overline{G} of a graph G is that graph with vertex set $V(G)$ such that two vertices are adjacent in \overline{G} if and only if these vertices are not adjacent in G .

To settle the problem, proposed in [11], of finding sufficient conditions for a graph G of order p with $\delta(G) \geq 1$ to satisfy $\text{str}(G) = p + \delta(G)$, the following class of graphs was recursively defined in [13]. Let F_2 be a graph with $V(F_2) = \{v_1, v_2\}$ and $E(F_2) = \{v_1v_2\}$. For $k \geq 2$, let F_{k+1} be the graph with $V(F_{k+1}) = V(F_k) \cup \{v_{k+1}\}$ and

$$E(F_{k+1}) = E(F_k) \cup \{v_iv_j \mid i \in [1, \lceil k/2 \rceil] \text{ and } i + j = k + 2\}.$$

It is now possible to state the next result that is an equivalent version of the characterization found in [13]. This will prove to be useful in our study of the edge-strength of graphs.

Theorem 2.4. *Let G be a graph of order p . Then $\text{str}(G) \geq 2p - k + 1$ if and only if \overline{G} does not contain F_k as a subgraph, where $k \in [2, p - 1]$.*

The *double star* $S_{m,n}$ is a tree obtained by joining the centers of two disjoint stars $K_{1,m}$ and $K_{1,n}$ with an edge. A formula for the strength of $S_{m,n}$ was found in [12]. With the aid of Theorem 2.4, it is now possible to determine a formula for $\text{estr}(S_{m,n})$.

Theorem 2.5. *For every two positive integers m and n ,*

$$\text{estr}(S_{m,n}) = 2(m + n) - 1.$$

Proof. Let $G \cong S_{m,n}$ and $H \cong L(G)$. Then $H \cong (K_m \cup K_n) + K_1$ so that $\overline{H} \cong K_{m,n} \cup K_1$. Since \overline{H} is a bipartite graph, it follows that \overline{H} cannot contain a cycle of odd length. However, F_4 contains C_3 as a subgraph. Consequently, \overline{H} does not contain F_4 as a subgraph. From Theorem 2.4, it is now immediate that $\text{estr}(G) = \text{str}(H) \geq 2(m + n) - 1$.

To complete the proof, it suffices to show the existence of a numbering f of H with

$$\text{str}_f(H) = 2(m + n) - 1.$$

Define the graph H with

$$V(H) = \{x\} \cup \{y_i \mid i \in [1, m]\} \cup \{z_i \mid i \in [1, n]\}$$

and

$$E(H) = \{xy_i \mid i \in [1, m]\} \cup \{xz_i \mid i \in [1, n]\} \cup \{y_iy_j \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq m\} \cup \{z_iz_j \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}.$$

Then the labeling $f : V(H) \rightarrow [1, m + n + 1]$ such that

$$f(v) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } v = x, \\ n + i & \text{if } v = y_i \text{ and } i \in [1, m], \\ m + n + 1 & \text{if } v = z_1, \\ i & \text{if } v = z_i \text{ and } i \in [2, n] \end{cases}$$

has the property that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{str}_f(H) &= \max \{f(u) + f(v) \mid uv \in E(H)\} \\ &= f(y_{m-1}) + f(y_m) \\ &= (m + n - 1) + (m + n) = 2(m + n) - 1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\text{str}(H) = 2(m + n) - 1$, implying that $\text{estr}(G) = 2(m + n) - 1$. □

The following corollary is obtained from the proof of the preceding theorem.

Corollary 2.1. *For every two positive integers m and n ,*

$$\text{str}((K_m \cup K_n) + K_1) = 2(m + n) - 1.$$

Let $T_{m,n}$ denote the tree obtained from the double star $S_{m,n}$ by subdividing the edge joining the centers of two disjoint stars $K_{1,m}$ and $K_{1,n}$. With this definition in hand, we have the next result.

Theorem 2.6. *For every two positive integers m and n ,*

$$\text{estr}(T_{m,n}) = 2(m + n) + 1.$$

Proof. Let $G \cong T_{m,n}$ and $H \cong L(G)$. Then H is the graph obtained by joining a vertex of K_{m+1} and a vertex of K_{n+1} with an edge. This gives a bipartite graph $\overline{H} \cong K_{m+1,n+1} - e$, where $e \in E(K_{m+1,n+1})$. Thus, \overline{H} cannot contain a cycle of odd length. However, F_4 contains C_3 as a subgraph. Consequently, \overline{H} does not contain F_4 as a subgraph. It follows from Theorem 2.4 that $\text{estr}(G) = \text{str}(H) \geq 2(m + n) + 1$.

To show that $\text{estr}(G) = 2(m + n) + 1$, it suffices to verify the existence of a numbering f of H for which

$$\text{str}_f(H) = 2(m + n) + 1.$$

Let

$$V(H) = \{x_i \mid i \in [1, m + 1]\} \cup \{y_i \mid i \in [1, n + 1]\}$$

and

$$E(H) = \{x_i x_j \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq m + 1\} \cup \{y_i y_j \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq n + 1\} \cup \{x_1 y_1\}.$$

Then the labeling $f : V(H) \rightarrow [1, m + n + 2]$ such that

$$f(v) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } v = x_1, \\ m + n + 3 - i & \text{if } v = x_i \text{ and } i \in [2, m + 1], \\ n + 2 - i & \text{if } v = y_i \text{ and } i \in [1, n], \\ m + n + 2 & \text{if } v = y_{n+1} \end{cases}$$

has the property that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{str}_f(H) &= \max \{f(u) + f(v) \mid uv \in E(H)\} \\ &= f(x_2) + f(x_3) \\ &= (m + n + 1) + (m + n) = 2(m + n) + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\text{str}(H) = 2(m + n) + 1$, which implies that $\text{estr}(T_{m,n}) = 2(m + n) + 1$. □

The *theta graph* $\Theta(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_m)$ is the graph consisting of m pairwise internally disjoint paths $P_{k_1}, P_{k_2}, \dots, P_{k_m}$ with common end-vertices. Theta graphs in which $k_1 = k_2 = \dots = k_m = n$ are denoted by $\Theta(m; n)$. For $m = 1$ and $m = 2$, the theta graph reduces to a path P_n and a cycle C_{2n-2} , respectively. A formula for the bandwidth of $\Theta(m; 3)$ was determined by Chvatalova and Oprtny [5]. In light of their result, it seems natural to explore the edge-strength of $\Theta(m; 3)$ next.

Theorem 2.7. *For every integer $m \geq 3$,*

$$\text{estr}(\Theta(m; 3)) = 4m - 3.$$

Proof. Let $G \cong \Theta(m; 3)$ and $H \cong L(G)$. Then $H \cong K_m \times K_2$, which gives a bipartite graph $\overline{H} \cong K_{m,m} - F$, where F is a 1-factor of $K_{m,m}$. Thus, \overline{H} cannot contain a cycle of odd length. However, F_4 contains C_3 as a subgraph. Consequently, \overline{H} does not contain F_4 as a subgraph. It follows from Theorem 2.4 that $\text{estr}(G) = \text{str}(H) \geq 4m - 3$.

To show that $\text{estr}(G) = 4m - 3$, it suffices to verify the existence of a numbering f of H with $\text{str}_f(H) = 4m - 3$. Define the graph H with

$$V(H) = \{x_i \mid i \in [1, m]\} \cup \{y_i \mid i \in [1, m]\}$$

and

$$E(H) = \{x_i x_j \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq m\} \cup \{y_i y_j \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq m\} \cup \{x_i y_i \mid i \in [1, m]\}.$$

Then the labeling $f : V(H) \rightarrow [1, 2m]$ such that

$$f(v) = \begin{cases} 2m & \text{if } v = x_1, \\ i - 1 & \text{if } v = x_i \text{ and } i \in [2, m], \\ m + i - 1 & \text{if } v = y_i \text{ and } i \in [1, m] \end{cases}$$

has the property that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{str}_f(H) &= \max \{f(u) + f(v) \mid uv \in E(H)\} \\ &= f(y_{m-1}) + f(y_m) \\ &= (2m - 2) + (2m - 1) = 4m - 3. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\text{str}(H) = 4m - 3$, completing the proof. □

This result also has a rather immediate corollary.

Corollary 2.2. *For every integer $m \geq 3$,*

$$\text{str}(K_m \times K_2) = 4m - 3.$$

The next result provides a formula for $\text{estr}(K_{1,m} \cup K_{1,n})$.

Theorem 2.8. *For every two integers $m \geq 2$ and $n \geq 2$,*

$$\text{estr}(K_{1,m} \cup K_{1,n}) = 2(m + n) - 3.$$

Proof. Let $G \cong K_{1,m} \cup K_{1,n}$ and $H \cong L(G)$. Then $H \cong K_m \cup K_n$. Note that for any two graphs G_1 and G_2 , we have $\overline{G_1 \cup G_2} \cong \overline{G_1} + \overline{G_2}$. Applying this with $G_1 \cong K_m$ and $G_2 \cong K_n$, we obtain a complete bipartite graph $\overline{H} \cong \overline{K_m} + \overline{K_n} \cong K_{m,n}$. Thus, \overline{H} cannot contain a cycle of odd length. However, F_4 contains C_3 as a subgraph. Consequently, \overline{H} does not contain F_4 as a subgraph. It follows from Theorem 2.4 that $\text{estr}(G) = \text{str}(H) \geq 2(m + n) - 3$. It remains to show that $\text{str}(H) \leq 2(m + n) - 3$. This can be completed by finding a numbering f of H for which $\text{str}_f(H) = 2(m + n) - 3$. Let H be the graph with

$$V(H) = \{x_i \mid i \in [1, m]\} \cup \{y_i \mid i \in [1, n]\}$$

and

$$E(H) = \{x_i x_j \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq m\} \cup \{y_i y_j \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}.$$

Then the labeling $f : V(H) \rightarrow [1, m + n]$ such that

$$f(v) = \begin{cases} m + n & \text{if } v = x_1, \\ i - 1 & \text{if } v = x_i \text{ and } i \in [2, m], \\ m + i - 1 & \text{if } v = y_i \text{ and } i \in [1, n] \end{cases}$$

has the property that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{str}_f(H) &= \max \{f(u) + f(v) \mid uv \in E(H)\} \\ &= f(y_{n-1}) + f(y_n) \\ &= (m + n - 2) + (m + n - 1) = 2(m + n) - 3. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\text{str}(H) = 2(m + n) - 3$, which implies that $\text{estr}(G) = 2(m + n) - 3$. □

The following corollary is obtained from the proof of the preceding theorem.

Corollary 2.3. *For every two integers $m \geq 2$ and $n \geq 2$,*

$$\text{str}(K_m \cup K_n) = 2(m + n) - 3.$$

Acknowledgments

The authors are very grateful to Francesc A. Muntaner-Batle whose valuable suggestions resulted in an improved paper. The authors are also greatly indebted to the anonymous referees of this paper for reviewing it carefully.

References

- [1] B. D. Acharya, S. M. Hegde, Strongly indexable graphs, *Discrete Math.* **93** (1991) 123–129.
- [2] G. Chartrand, L. Lesniak, *Graphs & Digraphs*, Wadsworth & Brooks/Cole Advanced Books and Software, Monterey, 1986.
- [3] P. Z. Chinn, J. Chvátalová, A. K. Dewdney, N. E. Gibbs, The bandwidth problem for graphs and matrices - a survey, *J. Graph Theory* **6** (1982) 223–254.
- [4] F. R. K. Chung, Labelings of graphs, In: L. W. Beineke, R. J. Wilson (Eds.), *Selected Topics in Graph Theory*, Vol. 3, Elsevier, San Diego, 1988, pp. 151–168.
- [5] J. Chvátalová, J. Opatrny, The bandwidth of theta graphs, *Util. Math.* **33** (1988) 9–22.
- [6] H. Enomoto, A. Lladó, T. Nakamigawa, G. Ringel, Super edge-magic graphs, *SUT J. Math.* **34** (1998) 105–109.
- [7] R. M. Figueroa-Centeno, R. Ichishima, F. A. Muntaner-Batle, The place of super edge-magic labelings among other classes of labelings, *Discrete Math.* **231** (2001) 153–168.
- [8] J. A. Gallian, A dynamic survey of graph labeling, *Electron. J. Combin.* (2019) Art# DS6.
- [9] F. Harary, Problem 16, In: M. Fiedler (Ed.), *Theory of Graphs and its Applications*, Czech. Acad. Sci., Prague, 1967, pp. 161–161.
- [10] L. H. Harper, Optimal assignments of numbers to vertices, *J. Soc. Indust. Appl. Math.* **12** (1964) 131–135.
- [11] R. Ichishima, F. A. Muntaner-Batle, A. Oshima, Bounds for the strength of graphs, *Australas. J. Combin.* **72** (2018) 492–508.
- [12] R. Ichishima, F. A. Muntaner-Batle, A. Oshima, The strength of some trees, *AKCE Int. J. Graphs Comb.*, DOI: 10.1016/j.akcej.2019.06.002, In press.
- [13] R. Ichishima, F. A. Muntaner-Batle, A. Oshima, Minimum degree conditions for the strength and bandwidth of graphs, *J. Discrete Math. Sci. Cryptogr.*, To appear.
- [14] R. Ichishima, F. A. Muntaner-Batle, A. Oshima, Y. Takahashi, The strength of graphs and related invariants, *Memoirs Kokushikan Univ. Inf. Sci.* **41** (2020) 1–8.
- [15] Y. L. Lai, K. Williams, A survey of solved problems and applications on bandwidth, edgesum, and profile of graphs, *J. Graph Theory* **31(2)** (1999) 75–94.