

The hyper-Zagreb index and some Hamiltonian properties of graphs

Rao Li*

Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of South Carolina Aiken, Aiken, SC 29801, USA

(Received: 22 January 2019. Received in revised form: 11 April 2019. Accepted: 29 April 2019. Published online: 3 May 2019.)

© 2019 the author. This is an open access article under the CC BY (International 4.0) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

Abstract

Let $G = (V(G), E(G))$ be a finite undirected graph without loops or multiple edges. The hyper-Zagreb index of G , denoted $HZ(G)$, is defined as $\sum_{uv \in E(G)} (d_G(u) + d_G(v))^2$, where $d_G(u)$ denotes the degree of a vertex $u \in V(G)$. Using the hyper-Zagreb index of the complement of a graph, several sufficient conditions for some Hamiltonian properties of the graph are presented in this paper.

Keywords: topological index; hyper-Zagreb index; Hamiltonian property.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 05C07, 05C38, 05C45.

1. Introduction and statements of the results

In this paper, only finite undirected graphs without loops or multiple edges are considered. Notation and terminology not defined here follow that described in [2]. Let $G = (V(G), E(G))$ be a graph. Denote by n , m , δ , and κ the order, size, minimum degree, and connectivity of G , respectively. The complement of G is denoted by G^c . The hyper-Zagreb index of G , denoted $HZ(G)$, is defined as $\sum_{uv \in E(G)} (d_G(u) + d_G(v))^2$ (see [11]). It needs to be mentioned here that the hyper-Zagreb index of G is actually equal to $F(G) + 2M_2(G)$, where $F(G)$ is the forgotten topological index of G (see [5]) and $M_2(G)$ is the second Zagreb index of G (see [9]). Denote by $\mu_n(G)$ the largest eigenvalue of the adjacency matrix of a graph G of order n . For two disjoint graphs G_1 and G_2 , the union and join of G_1 and G_2 are denoted by $G_1 + G_2$ and $G_1 \vee G_2$, respectively. Denote by sK_1 the union of s isolated vertices. The concept of closure of a graph G was introduced by Bondy and Chvátal in [1]. The k -closure of a graph G , denoted $cl_k(G)$, is a graph obtained from G by recursively joining two nonadjacent vertices such that their degree sum is at least k until no such pair remains. Denote by $C(n, r)$ the number of r -combinations of a set with n distinct elements.

A cycle C in a graph G is called a Hamiltonian cycle of G if C contains all the vertices of G . A graph G is called Hamiltonian if G has a Hamiltonian cycle. A path P in a graph G is called a Hamiltonian path of G if P contains all the vertices of G . A graph G is called traceable if G has a Hamiltonian path. The Hamiltonicity and traceability of graphs have been heavily investigated in last several decades. Lot of results in this direction can be found in the survey papers [6–8].

In 2010, Fiedler and Nikiforov obtained the following spectral conditions for the Hamiltonicity and traceability of graphs.

Theorem 1.1. [4] *Let G be a graph of order n .*

- (a) *If $\mu_n(G^c) \leq \sqrt{n-1}$, then G contains a Hamiltonian path unless $G \cong K_{n-1} + K_1$.*
- (b) *If $\mu_n(G^c) \leq \sqrt{n-2}$, then G contains a Hamiltonian cycle unless $G \cong K_1 \vee (K_1 + K_{n-2})$.*

Using the ideas and techniques developed by Fiedler and Nikiforov in [4], we in this note present the following sufficient conditions for the Hamiltonian and traceable graphs. Those conditions involve the hyper-Zagreb index of the complements of the graphs.

Theorem 1.2. *Let G be a graph of order n .*

- (a) *If $n \geq 3$ and $HZ(G^c) \leq (n-1)^2(n-2)$, then G is Hamiltonian, $G \cong K_1 \vee (K_1 + K_{n-2})$, or $G \cong K_2 \vee (3K_1)$.*
- (b) *If G is 2-connected with $n \geq 12$ and $HZ(G^c) \leq (n-1)^2(2n-7)$, then G is Hamiltonian.*

*E-mail address: raol@usca.edu

(c) If G is 3-connected with $n \geq 18$ and $HZ(G^c) \leq (n-1)^2(3n-15)$, then G is Hamiltonian.

(d) If $n \geq 3$, $\kappa \geq 2$, and $2HZ(G^c) \leq (n-1)^2(n(n-1) - (n-\kappa-1)(n+\kappa))$, then G is Hamiltonian or $G \cong K_\kappa \vee ((\kappa+1)K_1)$.

Theorem 1.3. Let G be a graph of order n .

(a) If $n \geq 4$, $\delta \geq 1$, and $HZ(G^c) \leq n^2(2n-5)$, then G is traceable or G belongs to the class $\{K_1 \vee (3K_1), K_2 \vee (4K_1), K_3 \vee (5K_1), K_4 \vee (6K_1)\}$.

(b) If $n \geq 2$, $\kappa \geq 1$, and $2HZ(G^c) \leq n^2(n(n-1) - (n-\kappa-2)(n+\kappa+1))$, then G is traceable or $G \cong K_\kappa \vee ((\kappa+2)K_1)$.

2. Previous results

We need the following previous results as lemmas to prove our theorems.

Lemma 2.1. (Lemma 2.1 on Page 112 in [1]) A graph G of order n is Hamiltonian if and only if $cl_n(G)$ is Hamiltonian.

Lemma 2.2. (Lemma 2.4 on Page 113 in [1]) A graph G of order n is traceable if and only if $cl_{n-1}(G)$ is traceable.

Lemma 2.3. (Corollary 4.6 on Page 60 in [2]) Let G be a graph of order $n \geq 3$. If $m \geq C(n-1, 2) + 1$, then G is Hamiltonian, $G \cong K_1 \vee (K_1 + K_{n-2})$, or $G \cong K_2 \vee (3K_1)$.

Lemma 2.4. (Theorem 11 on Page 1575 in [3]) Let G be a 2-connected graph of order $n \geq 12$. If $m \geq C(n-2, 2) + 4$, then G is Hamiltonian or $G \cong K_2 \vee ((2K_1) + K_{n-4})$.

Lemma 2.5. (Theorem 10 on Page 1574 in [3]) Let G be a 3-connected graph of order $n \geq 18$. If $m \geq C(n-3, 2) + 9$, then G is Hamiltonian or $G \cong K_3 \vee ((3K_1) + K_{n-6})$.

Lemma 2.6. (Theorem 1 in [10]) Let G be a graph of order $n \geq 3$, m edges, and connectivity $\kappa \geq 2$. If $m \geq (n-\kappa-1)(n+\kappa)/2$, then G is Hamiltonian or $G \cong K_\kappa \vee ((\kappa+1)K_1)$.

Lemma 2.7. (Lemma 4 in [12]) Let G be a graph of order $n \geq 4$, m edges, and $\delta \geq 1$. If $m \geq C(n-2, 2) + 2$, then G is traceable or G belongs to the class $\{K_1 \vee ((2K_1) + K_{n-3}), K_1 \vee (K_1 + K_{1,3}), K_{2,4}, K_2 \vee (4K_1), (K_2 \vee ((3K_1) + K_2)), K_1 \vee K_{2,5}, K_3 \vee (5K_1), (K_2 \vee (K_1 + K_{1,4})), K_4 \vee (6K_1)\}$.

Lemma 2.8. (Theorem 2 in [10]) Let G be a graph of order $n \geq 2$, m edges, and connectivity $\kappa \geq 1$. If $m \geq (n-\kappa-2)(n+\kappa+1)/2$, then G is traceable or $G \cong K_\kappa \vee ((\kappa+2)K_1)$.

3. Proofs

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let G be a graph satisfying the conditions of part (a), part (b), part (c), or part (d) of Theorem 1.2 and G is not Hamiltonian. Then Lemma 2.1 implies that $H := cl_n(G)$ is not Hamiltonian and therefore H is not K_n . Thus there exist two vertices x and y in $V(H)$ such that $xy \notin E(H)$ and for any pair of nonadjacent vertices u and v in $V(H)$ we have $d_H(u) + d_H(v) \leq n-1$. Hence, for any pair of adjacent vertices u and v in $V(H^c)$, it holds that

$$d_{H^c}(u) + d_{H^c}(v) = n-1 - d_H(u) + n-1 - d_H(v) \geq n-1.$$

Thus,

$$(d_{H^c}(u) + d_{H^c}(v))^2 \geq (n-1)^2.$$

Therefore,

$$HZ(H^c) = \sum_{uv \in E(H^c)} (d_{H^c}(u) + d_{H^c}(v))^2 \geq (n-1)^2 m(H^c).$$

Notice that $d_{H^c}(u) \leq d_{G^c}(u)$ for each vertex $u \in V(H^c) = V(G^c)$ and $E(H^c) \subseteq E(G^c)$. We have that

$$HZ(G^c) \geq HZ(H^c) \geq (n-1)^2 m(H^c).$$

(a) Suppose G satisfies conditions of Theorem 1.2(a). Notice that H is not Hamiltonian. Lemma 2.3 implies that

$$m(H) \leq C(n-1, 2),$$

or

$$m(H) = C(n-1, 2) + 1 \quad \text{and} \quad H \cong K_1 \vee (K_1 + K_{n-2}),$$

or

$$m(H) = C(n-1, 2) + 1 \quad \text{and} \quad H \cong K_2 \vee (3K_1).$$

If $m(H) \leq C(n-1, 2)$, then

$$(n-1)^2(n-2) \geq HZ(G^c) \geq HZ(H^c) \geq (n-1)^2 m(H^c) \geq (n-1)^2 (C(n, 2) - C(n-1, 2)) = (n-1)^3,$$

which is a contradiction.

If $H \cong K_1 \vee (K_1 + K_{n-2})$ or $H \cong K_2 \vee (3K_1)$, then

$$(n-1)^2(n-2) \geq HZ(G^c) \geq HZ(H^c) \geq (n-1)^2 m(H^c) \geq (n-1)^2 (C(n, 2) - C(n-1, 2) - 1) = (n-1)^2(n-2).$$

Thus $d_{G^c}(u) = d_{H^c}(u)$ for each $u \in V(H^c) = V(G^c)$, $d_{G^c}(u)d_{G^c}(v) = d_{H^c}(u)d_{H^c}(v)$ for each $uv \in E(H^c)$, and $d_{H^c}(u) + d_{H^c}(v) = n-1$ for each $uv \in E(H^c)$. Therefore $G = H$.

(b) Suppose G satisfies conditions of Theorem 1.2(b). Notice that H is not Hamiltonian. Lemma 2.4 implies that

$$m(H) \leq C(n-2, 2) + 3$$

or

$$m(H) = C(n-2, 2) + 4 \quad \text{and} \quad H \cong K_2 \vee (2K_1 + K_{n-4}).$$

If $m(H) \leq C(n-2, 2) + 3$, then

$$(n-1)^2(2n-7) \geq HZ(G^c) \geq HZ(H^c) \geq (n-1)^2 m(H^c) \geq (n-1)^2 (C(n, 2) - C(n-2, 2) - 3) = (n-1)^2(2n-6),$$

that is a contradiction.

If $H \cong K_2 \vee (2K_1 + K_{n-4})$, then

$$(n-1)^2(2n-7) \geq HZ(G^c) \geq HZ(H^c) \geq (n-1)^2 m(H^c) \geq (n-1)^2 (C(n, 2) - C(n-2, 2) - 4) = (n-1)^2(2n-7).$$

Thus $d_{G^c}(u) = d_{H^c}(u)$ for each $u \in V(H^c) = V(G^c)$, $d_{G^c}(u)d_{G^c}(v) = d_{H^c}(u)d_{H^c}(v)$ for each $uv \in E(H^c)$, and $d_{H^c}(u) + d_{H^c}(v) = n-1$ for each $uv \in E(H^c)$. Therefore, $G = H \cong K_2 \vee (3K_1)$. Since $n \geq 12$, a contradiction is obtained.

(c) Suppose G satisfies conditions of Theorem 1.2(c). Notice that H is not Hamiltonian. Lemma 2.5 implies that $m(H) \leq C(n-3, 2) + 8$ or $m(H) = C(n-3, 2) + 9$ and $H \cong K_3 \vee (3K_1 + K_{n-6})$.

If $m(H) \leq C(n-3, 2) + 8$, then

$$(n-1)^2(3n-15) \geq HZ(G^c) \geq HZ(H^c) \geq (n-1)^2 m(H^c) \geq (n-1)^2 (C(n, 2) - C(n-3, 2) - 8) = (n-1)^2(3n-14),$$

a contradiction.

If $H \cong K_3 \vee (3K_1 + K_{n-6})$, then

$$(n-1)^2(3n-15) \geq HZ(G^c) \geq HZ(H^c) \geq (n-1)^2 m(H^c) \geq (n-1)^2 (C(n, 2) - C(n-3, 2) - 9) = (n-1)^2(3n-15).$$

Thus $d_{G^c}(u) = d_{H^c}(u)$ for each $u \in V(H^c) = V(G^c)$, $d_{G^c}(u)d_{G^c}(v) = d_{H^c}(u)d_{H^c}(v)$ for each $uv \in E(H^c)$, and $d_{H^c}(u) + d_{H^c}(v) = n-1$ for each $uv \in E(H^c)$. Therefore $G = H \cong K_3 \vee (4K_1)$. Since $n \geq 18$, we reach at a contradiction.

(d) Suppose G satisfies conditions of Theorem 1.2(d). Note that H is not Hamiltonian. Lemma 2.6 implies that

$$2m(H) \leq (n-\kappa-1)(n+\kappa) - 1$$

or

$$2m(H) = (n-\kappa-1)(n+\kappa) \quad \text{and} \quad H \cong K_\kappa \vee ((\kappa+1)K_1).$$

If $2m(H) \leq (n-\kappa-1)(n+\kappa) - 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} (n-1)^2(n(n-1) - (n-\kappa-1)(n+\kappa)) &\geq 2HZ(G^c) \geq 2HZ(H^c) \geq 2(n-1)^2 m(H^c) \\ &\geq (n-1)^2 (2C(n, 2) - (n-\kappa-1)(n+\kappa) + 1) \\ &= (n-1)^2(n(n-1) - (n-\kappa-1)(n+\kappa) + 1), \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction.

If $H \cong K_\kappa \vee ((\kappa + 1)K_1)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} (n-1)^2(n(n-1) - (n-\kappa-1)(n+\kappa)) &\geq 2HZ(G^c) \geq 2HZ(H^c) \geq 2(n-1)^2m(H^c) \\ &\geq (n-1)^2(2C(n,2) - (n-\kappa-1)(n+\kappa)) \\ &= (n-1)^2(n(n-1) - (n-\kappa-1)(n+\kappa)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $d_{G^c}(u) = d_{H^c}(u)$ for each $u \in V(H^c) = V(G^c)$, $d_{G^c}(u)d_{G^c}(v) = d_{H^c}(u)d_{H^c}(v)$ for each $uv \in E(H^c)$, and $d_{H^c}(u) + d_{H^c}(v) = n-1$ for each $uv \in E(H^c)$. Therefore $G = H$.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Let G be a graph satisfying the conditions of part (a) or part (b) of Theorem 1.3 and G is not traceable. Then Lemma 2.2 implies that $H := cl_{n-1}(G)$ is not traceable and therefore H is not K_n . Thus there exist two vertices x and y in $V(H)$ such that $xy \notin E(H)$ and for any pair of nonadjacent vertices u and v in $V(H)$ we have

$$d_H(u) + d_H(v) \leq n-2.$$

Hence, for any pair of adjacent vertices u and v in $V(H^c)$, we have that

$$d_{H^c}(u) + d_{H^c}(v) = n-1 - d_H(u) + n-1 - d_H(v) \geq n.$$

Thus,

$$(d_{H^c}(u) + d_{H^c}(v))^2 \geq n^2.$$

Therefore,

$$HZ(H^c) = \sum_{uv \in E(H^c)} (d_{H^c}(u) + d_{H^c}(v))^2 \geq n^2m(H^c).$$

Notice that $d_{H^c}(u) \leq d_{G^c}(u)$ for each $u \in V(H^c) = V(G^c)$ and $E(H^c) \subseteq E(G^c)$. We have that

$$HZ(G^c) \geq HZ(H^c) \geq n^2m(H^c).$$

(a) Suppose G satisfies conditions of Theorem 1.3(a). Notice that H is not traceable. Lemma 2.7 implies that $m(H) \leq C(n-2, 2) + 1$ or $m(H) = C(n-2, 2) + 2$ and H belongs to the class $\{K_1 \vee ((2K_1) + K_{n-3}), K_1 \vee (K_1 + K_{1,3}), K_{2,4}, K_2 \vee (4K_1), (K_2 \vee ((3K_1) + K_2)), K_1 \vee K_{2,5}, K_3 \vee (5K_1), (K_2 \vee (K_1 + K_{1,4})), K_4 \vee (6K_1)\}$.

If $m(H) \leq C(n-2, 2) + 1$, then it holds that

$$n^2(2n-5) \geq HZ(G^c) \geq HZ(H^c) \geq n^2m(H^c) \geq n^2(C(n,2) - C(n-2,2) - 1) = n^2(2n-4),$$

which is a contradiction.

If H belongs to the class $\{K_1 \vee ((2K_1) + K_{n-3}), K_1 \vee (K_1 + K_{1,3}), K_{2,4}, K_2 \vee (4K_1), (K_2 \vee ((3K_1) + K_2)), K_1 \vee K_{2,5}, K_3 \vee (5K_1), (K_2 \vee (K_1 + K_{1,4})), K_4 \vee (6K_1)\}$, then

$$n^2(2n-5) \geq HZ(G^c) \geq HZ(H^c) \geq n^2m(H^c) \geq n^2(C(n,2) - C(n-2,2) - 2) = n^2(2n-5).$$

Thus $d_{G^c}(u) = d_{H^c}(u)$ for each $u \in V(H^c) = V(G^c)$, $d_{G^c}(u)d_{G^c}(v) = d_{H^c}(u)d_{H^c}(v)$ for each $uv \in E(H^c)$, and $d_{H^c}(u) + d_{H^c}(v) = n$ for each $uv \in E(H^c)$. Therefore $G = H$ and H belongs to the class $\{K_1 \vee (3K_1), K_2 \vee (4K_1), K_3 \vee (5K_1), K_4 \vee (6K_1)\}$.

(b) Suppose G satisfies conditions of Theorem 1.3(b). Notice that H is not traceable. Lemma 2.8 implies that

$$2m(H) \leq (n-\kappa-2)(n+\kappa+1) - 1$$

or

$$2m(H) = (n-\kappa-2)(n+\kappa+1) \quad \text{and} \quad H \cong K_\kappa \vee ((\kappa+2)K_1).$$

If $2m(H) \leq (n-\kappa-2)(n+\kappa+1) - 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} n^2(n(n-1) - (n-\kappa-2)(n+\kappa+1)) &\geq 2HZ(G^c) \geq 2HZ(H^c) \geq 2n^2m(H^c) \geq n^2(2C(n,2) - (n-\kappa-2)(n+\kappa+1) + 1) \\ &= n^2(n(n-1) - (n-\kappa-2)(n+\kappa+1) + 1), \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction.

If $H \cong K_\kappa \vee ((\kappa+2)K_1)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} n^2(n(n-1) - (n-\kappa-2)(n+\kappa+1)) &\geq 2HZ(G^c) \geq 2HZ(H^c) \geq 2n^2m(H^c) \geq n^2(2C(n,2) - (n-\kappa-2)(n+\kappa+1)) \\ &= n^2(n(n-1) - (n-\kappa-2)(n+\kappa+1)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, it holds that $d_{G^c}(u) = d_{H^c}(u)$ for each vertex $u \in V(H^c) = V(G^c)$, $d_{G^c}(u)d_{G^c}(v) = d_{H^c}(u)d_{H^c}(v)$ for each edge $uv \in E(H^c)$, and $d_{H^c}(u) + d_{H^c}(v) = n$ for each edge $uv \in E(H^c)$. Therefore, $G = H$.

Acknowledgment

The author would like to thank the anonymous referees for their suggestions and comments which improved the original version of this paper.

References

- [1] J. A. Bondy, V. Chvátal, A method in graph theory, *Discrete Math.* **15** (1976) 111–135.
- [2] J. A. Bondy, U. S. R. Murty, *Graph Theory with Applications*, Elsevier, New York, 1976.
- [3] W. Byer, D. Smeltzer, Edge bounds in nonhamiltonian k -connected graphs, *Discrete Math.* **307** (2007) 1572–1579.
- [4] M. Fiedler, V. Nikiforov, Spectral radius and Hamiltonicity of graphs, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **432** (2010) 2170–2173.
- [5] B. Furtula, I. Gutman, A forgotten topological index, *J. Math. Chem.* **53** (2015) 1184–1190.
- [6] R. J. Gould, Updating the Hamiltonian problem - a survey, *J. Graph Theory* **15** (1991) 121–157.
- [7] R. J. Gould, Advances on the Hamiltonian problem - a survey, *Graphs Combin.* **19** (2003) 7–52.
- [8] R. J. Gould, Recent advances on the Hamiltonian problem: survey III, *Graphs Combin.* **30** (2014) 1–46.
- [9] I. Gutman, B. Rušćić, N. Trinajstić, C. F. Wilcox Jr., Graph theory and molecular orbitals. XII. Acyclic polyenes, *J. Chem. Phys.* **62** (1975) 3399–3405.
- [10] R. Li, New sufficient conditions for Hamiltonian and traceable graphs, *Amer. Res. J. Math.* **3** (2017) Article# 3.
- [11] E. Milovanović, M. Matejić, I. Milovanović, Some new upper bounds for the hyper-Zagreb index, *Discrete Math. Lett.* **1** (2019) 30–35.
- [12] B. Ning, J. Ge, Spectral radius and Hamiltonian properties of graphs, *Linear Multilinear Algebra* **63** (2015) 1520–1530.